Independent Review of Children's Cardiac Services at the Bristol Royal Hospital for Children (BRCH)

1.0 Introduction

This paper provides an update to Board members on development of the programme plan to address the recommendations for University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust and South West and Wales Congenital Heart Network as set out in the Independent Review of the children's cardiac service at the Bristol Royal Hospital for Children and a CQC expert review of clinical outcomes of the children cardiac service published on 30 June 2016. It also provides and update on work to ensure that clinical leaders and service users (young people and family members) are engaged and involved in the development and delivery of the actions within the programme plan.

2.0 Programme management

The tables below details a high level progress update of delivery against the agreed programme plan for the three delivery groups. The plan shows the progress of the work that is ongoing to deliver the actions to support the closure of the recommendations. It also shows where delivery of the actions is not within the initially set timescales.

Please see below update via delivery groups:

Table 1: Status Women's & Children's Delivery Group (total= 18)

| | 4 | RECOMMENDATIONS | | | | | |
|----------|-----|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----|----------------|--------------------------|
| MONTH | Red | Amber | Blue- on target | Green- completed | ТВС | Not started | CLOSED BY STEERING GROUP |
| Sept '16 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 of 32 |
| Oct '16 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 of 32 |
| Nov'16 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 of 32 |
| Dec'16 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 of 32 |
| Jan'17 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 of 32 |
| Feb'17 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 of 32 |
| Mar'17 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 of 32 |
| Apr'17 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 Of 32 |
| May'17 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 13 of 32 |

Table 2: Consent Delivery Group (total= 5)

| | RECOMMENDATIONS CLOSED BY | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|--|--|
| MONTH | Red | Blue- on target Completed TBC Not started | | | | | | | |
| Sept '16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 of 32 | | |
| Oct '16 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 of 32 | | |



| Nov'16 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 of 32 |
|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Dec'16 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 of 32 |
| Jan'17 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 of 32 |
| Feb'17 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 of 32 |
| Mar'17 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 of 32 |
| Apr'17 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 of 32 |
| May'17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 of 32 |

Table 4: Status Incident and Complaints Delivery Group (total= 5)

| | - | Actions in Progress | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-----|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----|----------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| MONTH | Red | Amber | Blue- on target | Green- completed | ТВС | Not started | CLOSED BY STEERING GROUP | | | | |
| Sept '16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 of 32 | | | | |
| Oct '16 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 of 32 | | | | |
| Nov'16 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 of 32 | | | | |
| Dec'16 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 of 32 | | | | |
| Jan'17 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 of 32 | | | | |
| Feb'17 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 of 32 | | | | |
| Mar'17 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 of 32 | | | | |
| Apr'17 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 of 32 | | | | |
| May'17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 of 32 | | | | |

Table 5: Status Other Actions governed by Steering Group (total=4)

| | ← | Actions in Progress | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|----------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----|----------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| MONTH | Red | Amber | Blue- on target | Green- completed | ТВС | Not started | CLOSED BY STEERING GROUP | | | | |
| Sept '16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 of 32 | | | | |
| Oct '16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 of 32 | | | | |
| Nov'16 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 of 32 | | | | |
| Dec'16 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 of 32 | | | | |
| Jan'17 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 of 32 | | | | |
| Feb'17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 of 32 | | | | |
| Mar'17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 of 32 | | | | |



| Apr'17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 of 32 |
|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| May'17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 of 32 |

Exception report- Red actions

Recommendation 7 – (Management of follow up appointments) All actions to deliver the recommendation have been completed as has the validation of the outpatient backlog and the development of a recovery trajectory to address the backlog. The recommendation was not supported for closure by the delivery group as the actions in the plan to address the backlog had not yet all commenced. The risk relating to the potential impact on delivery of the recommendation remains on the risk register rated a 6. The plan is to present for closure at the July steering group meeting.

Recommendation 18 – (risk assessment of cancellations) was discussed at the May delivery group meeting however a request to close was not submitted to the steering group because the delivery group did not receive the assurance that they required of the embeddedness of the action to review data weekly at the designated meeting The plan is to present at the June/July meeting for closure.

Exception report – Amber actions

Recommendation 4 - Support for women accessing fetal services between Wales and Bristol; this recommendation is due for closure in June, following one date revision, and is anticipated to be ready for closure at this time. The fetal survey results have been received and are being reviewed; in view of vacancies in the cardiac fetal service on both sites it is expected that some elements of the work required will transfer into the Network work plan for completion.

3.0 Risks to Delivery

No further risks to delivery were added to the project risk register.

Risk ICR1: risk of commitment to changes required for ensure closer working with UHBristol and University Hospital Wales (UHW) and relevant commission organisations was reduced from a risk rating of 12 to 4 as a result of funding being agreed to support additional consultant sessions in UHW.

Risk ICR2: risk of delivery to fetal cardiology service in UHW due to lack of substantive/vacant consultant positions was reduced from a risk rating of 12 to 8 following an agreement on the operational requirements to meet the service need. The rating was not reduced further as the positions have not yet been recruited to.

4.0 Recommendations closed

The June 2017 Steering Group approved the closure of three recommendations:

- recommendation 5
- recommendation 30
- CQC Action 2

5.0 Family involvement update

The majority of actions on the original plan have been completed.

Work in progress includes:

- Listening events in peripheral clinics
- Fetal pathway satisfaction questionnaire
- Listening event in collaboration with the Welsh commissioners and service providers.



PROGRESS REPORT AGAINST UH BRISTOL RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE INDEPENDENT REVIEW OF CHILDREN'S CARDIAC SERVICES – 23rd May 2017 Delivery Group update

1. Women's and Children's Delivery Group Action Plan

W&C Recommendation's delivery timeframe

| MONTH | Oct '16 | Nov '16 | Dec '16 | Jan '17 | Feb '17 | Mar '17 | Apr' 17 | May '17 | Jun '17 |
|------------------|---|--|--|---|---------|---|--|---------|------------|
| Recomme ndations | 8- Outpatients experience Approved as closed by Steering Group (09/01/17) | 18- Cancelled Operations risk assessment - timescale change request to Feb'17 Change req to Mar'17 Final SOP and new Next steps SOP with transformation team. March'17 delivery group felt unable to sign off recommendation; all documentation has been produced to support the process however we have been unable to evidence that the process is being followed robustly; request for a further delay to May 17 to enable the demonstration of embedding in practice. April'17 Process in place to record all cancelled patients, presented to cardiac clinicians weekly at JCC meeting. All discussions when patients are cancelled are captured here. Further work to provide assurance that the meeting oversees the record of cancelled patients. RT to ensure that all clinicians are aware of the importance of reviewing the list. Reviewing JCC attendance to ensure appropriate oversight. May Delivery – need more data to demonstrate sustained commitment to holding and recording the discussion on risk | 16- communication with families about team working/ involvement of other operators timescale change request to Feb'17 Change request to Mar'17 Intervention leaflet amendment & printing as a trial pending additions Mar'17 information booklets complete and approved through the divisional assurance process; some FI comments to include and then print, trial and evaluate; RTC supported by delivery group. Subject to steering group sign off an official launch date will be established and communicated to all staff. Approved as closed by Steering group 4/4/17 | 7- periodic audit of follow up care timescale change request to Feb'17 Change request to May'17 in view of numbers of outpatients and inpatients requiring validation to establish risk – added to RR Mar'17 initial validation of data completed; next steps to return to April mtg to consider alternative accommodation for additional clinics and associated costs and equipment requirements before rto in May '17 April'17 Significant work undertaken to identify capacity gap (backlog and ongoing), locum advert going out, outpatient space being identified, additional clinics being planned. Trajectory of the outcome of this work for May delivery mtg with a view to closing recommendation. May 17 plan devised to address backlog, elements still requiring work before confidence to sign off, return to June delivery 9 &11- Benchmarking | | 21- (Commissioner) - provision of a comprehensive service of Psychological support, Trust- Expression of Interest submission (green- provider actions) Mar'17 RTC supported by the delivery group in view of successful recruitment Approved as closed by Steering group 4/4/17 | 2- NCHDA data team staffing Mar'17 recommendation added to IR risk register (is also on divisional risk register) as no current solution in place to provide additional resource to the data collection team. Mar'17 EOI unsuccessful, plan outstanding final actions at present, to review current resource and finalise a plan for April '17 mtg- added to risk register in view of no plan Apr'17 current paediatric resource reviewed, additional resource added into fetal service already so the team are able to absorb this additional workload with minimal additional support from paediatric team. Original bid reviewed and agreement received to fund additional paediatric admin and nursing time on a fixed term basis from within the division to allow for a full review of all data teams to establish whether any further economies or efficiencies can be identified. Data team have approved that this will be sufficient for the current workload and supporting the fetal team. Commitment from management team to review the team resource on a quarterly basis and external review pending Sept'17. Further sign off received at joint cardiac board (26/04/17) to ensure no impact on adult services. Sign off by lead consultant for cardiac data confirmed additional input is sufficient for current requirements with ongoing review required. RTC agreed by delivery group. May steering group accepted for closure | | |
| | | bereavement support (approved as closed by Steering group 07/02/17) | patient safety issues (approved as closed by Steering group 07/02/17) | exercise (gaps/actions/implement plan) | | | diagnosis and pathway of care Mar'17 rec. 3 progressing to plan CQC 5 supported for closure in view of the production of information sheets to support | | |

| CQC 3- Pain and comfort scores Approved as closed by Steering Group (06/12/16) | COC 4 CNS recording of discussions with families in notes timescale change request to Feb'17 Change request to Apr 17 to allow for additional training Mar17 delivery group supported RTC in view of provision of medway communications page in use and accessible to all appropriate staff; plan to audit quality of records and return to delivery group. Approved as closed by Steering group 4/4/17 | to Feb'17 Change request Mar'17 – benchmarking almost complete – action plan to be devised Mar'17 feedback provided to support the RTC of recommendations with the caveat that, as the action plan is a work in progress it would be held and progressed by the cardiac business meeting. Approved as closed by Steering group 4/4/17 CQC 6- Discharge planning to include AHP advice (approved as closed by Steering group 07/02/17) | | over 33 different operations; FI comments to be incorporated and then print, trial and evaluate Rec 5 Approved as closed by Steering group 4/4/17 April'17 template front sheets presented to group; have been to listening events and cardiac governance for review and comment which have been incorporated. To go back to governance on Friday 28th for final approval and agreement on a go live date, location on website (BRHC or Network or both). Links added to patient letters to guide families to website. Patient information leaflets updated and in circulation. RTC approved by delivery group pending governance sign off for visual pathways and caveats as above. May steering group accepted for closure 4- Support for women accessing fetal services between Wales and Bristol – timescale change request to Jun '17 Mar'17 update, FI review of questionnaire complete. April'17 letter sent to all families, questionnaire going out to respondees by end April. Improvements will be identified and planned and are anticipated to be sufficient to sign off recommendation by June however both sites have fetal vacancies and therefore this will impact on the timescale for the delivery of the total plan. May'17 on track for June closure, fetal survey results received. | |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| cQC 2 Formal ECHO report during surgery change request to Mar'17 to allow re-audit Mar'17 re-audit shows an improvement in the use of the echo forms however they are still not in use 100% of times. Request to amend delivery date to May'17 to allow for reaudit. Apr'17 Further audit in May to come to delivery group end of May. RT to highlight to cardiologists and IJ to highlight to intensivists. May'17 request to close supported forJune steering | | | | 5- Improved pathways of care paed. cardiology services between Wales and Bristol – timescale change request to May '17 April '17 improvements identified, corresponding with Wales re implementation, awaiting a response. Recommendation on target to close at May delivery meeting May'17 request to close supported for June steering. | |



| | | | Progress o | verview | | | Detailed actions | | | | | |
|-----|--|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---|--|----------|--------------------|---|--|
| No. | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completio n date | Status | Delivery Risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence | |
| 2 | That the Trust should review the adequacy of staffing to support NCHDA's audit and collection of data. | Deputy Divisional Director | Apr '17 | Green- complete | None | | Review of staffing | Assistant General Manager for Paediatric Cardiac Services | Sept '17 | Green- complete | Staffing review report | |
| | | | | | | | Results and recommendations reported at Women's and Children's Delivery Group in Sept. '16. | Assistant General Manager for Paediatric Cardiac Services | Sept '17 | Green- complete | Women's and Children's Delivery Group Agenda and minutes 20.09.16 | |
| | | | | | | | Requirement for additional staff will feed into business round 2016-17 | Assistant General Manager for Paediatric Cardiac Services | Apr' 17 | Green-complete | Expression of interest form and Women's and Children's Operating Plan Feb Meeting – review of current resources (FU/VM) Mar'17 added to IR RR in view of concerns over ability to meet recommendation requirements due to lack of support for additional resource Apr'17 review complete, additional resource funded by division, RTC submitted | |



| | | | Progress o | verview | | | Detailed actions | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|---------|---------------------|--|--|
| No. | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completio n date | Status | Delivery Risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence | |
| 3 | That the Trust should review the information given to families at the point of diagnosis (whether antenatal | Specialist Clinical Psychologist | Apr '17 | Green- complete | | | Information given to families at the point of diagnosis reviewed by the clinical team and the cardiac families – remaining information for Catheter Procedures and Discharge leaflet. Website and leaflets updated to reflect improvements | Clinical Team & Cardiac Families | Jan' 16 | Green- complete | Revised patient information leaflets | |
| | or post-natal), to ensure that it covers not only diagnosis but also the | | | | | | Links to access relevant information to be added to the bottom of clinic letters for patients. | Specialist Clinical Psychologist | Dec '16 | Green - Complete | Clinic letter with links (examples Feb mtg docs) | |
| | proposed pathway of care. Attention should be paid to the means by which such information is conveyed, and the use of internet and | | | | | | Review and amendment of Catheter and Discharge leaflet | Cardiac CNS team | Feb' 17 | Green - Complete | Revised Catheter and Discharge leaflet Feb mtg – this may replicate work in recomm 16 CNS team to check (JH/ST) | |
| | electronic resources to supplement leaflets and letters. | | | | | | Enhance existing information with a visual diagram displaying pathways of care (FI). | Specialist Clinical Psychologist | Apr' 17 | Green- complete | Pathways of Care devised – update to come to Mar'17 mtg re opportunities to link with Network website to enable interactive functionality VG/LS to discuss timescales to share with Virtual group Mar'17 visual pathways shared at listening event – supportive of structure and content; charitable funding secured; designer commissioned with a timescale of draft drawings by April 17 mtg for RTC | |



| | | | Progress ov | verview | | | Detailed actions | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|---------------------|---------------------------|---|---|---|--|---------|---------------------|--|--|
| No. | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completio n date | Status | Delivery Risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | April'17 visual pathway designs received, RTC approved caveated by sign off by cardiac governance meeting | |
| | | | | | | | Website proposal to be written for new Children's website including cardiac information similar to Evelina to improve accessibility of our information. This will be additional and not essential for delivery of the recommendation (FI). | LIAISE Team Manager and Specialist Clinical Psychologist | tbc | Started | | |
| | | | | | | | Smart phone App proposal to be written for Cardiac Services to enable patient/families to access information electronically (FI). This will be additional and not essential for delivery of the recommendation | LIAISE Team Manager and Specialist Clinical Psychologist | tbc | Not started | | |
| 4 | That the Commissioners and providers of fetal cardiology services in Wales should review the availability of support for women, including for any transition to Bristol or other specialist tertiary centres. For example, women whose fetus is diagnosed with a cardiac anomaly and are delivering their baby in Wales should be offered the | CHD Network Clinical Director | Apr '17 | Amber – behind plan | Risk that we are unable to get commitment / agreement on the changes that are required across the two hospitals / commissioning bodies Risk that operational challenges in delivery of the fetal | Jun 17 due to delay in engagement with UHW and the operational challenges in their fetal cardiology service | Meeting arranged for 18 th November with English and Welsh commissioners as well as Bristol and Cardiff trusts to establish: 1. Commissioner oversight of network 2. Commissioner support for IR actions (4,5 &11) 3. Establishment of working group(s) to address the specific changes in practices required | CHD Network Clinical Director and Network Manager | Nov '16 | Green - complete | Agreed pathway of care in line with new CHD standards and in line with patient feedback Update from May delivery group – significant work completed, survey complete and results returned. Pt counselling and CNS cover addressed. Offer in place for families to visit Bristol when antenatal | |



| | | | Progress ov | erview | | | Detailed actions | | | | | |
|-----|---|-----------------|---------------------|--------|--|----------------------------------|---|---|--|---------------------------|---|--|
| No. | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completio n date | Status | Delivery Risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence | |
| | opportunity, and be supported to visit the centre in Bristol, if there is an expectation that their baby will be transferred to Bristol at some point following the birth | | | | cardiology service in UHW prevent focus on the achievement of this recommend ation business plan | | Ahead of the meeting: define specifics of recommendation (e.g. approaches to diagnosis and counselling); options for patient involvement (survey then focus group); CHD standards that relate to this recommendation; examples of practice from other centres | CHD Network Clinical Director and Network Manager | Nov '16 | Green- complete | diagnosis made. Vacancies in both main sites will mean that the full extent of the work planned in this area will move to the Network work plan going forward. Plan to request closure in June 2017 | |
| | | | | | | | University Hospital Wales to define how additional foetal sessions will be delivered and who from foetal cardiology will lead the recommendation implementation and collaborate with Bristol to set up working group in January | Clinical Director for Acute Child Health, university hospital wales | Dec '16 Revised to Mar '17. UHW have appoint ed lead, but have not yet resolve d operatio nal issues | Green - Complete | Feb mtg – outline plan for foetal sessions, process to manage referral through acceptance criteria in short term | |
| | | | | | | | Foetal working group to define changes / new pathways, taking account of patient feedback | Working group | Jan '17 Revised to Feb '17. Working group establis hed, but | Amber – behind plan | Feb mtg - Changes defined; joint review of approach to counselling; establishment of joint service review meeting | |



| | | | Progress ov | erview | | | De | tailed actions | | | |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------|-------------------|----------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|
| No. | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completio n date | Status | Delivery Risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence |
| | | | | | | | Undertake patient survey and focus groups (FI). | CHD Network Manager | struggli ng to coordin ate diaries for meeting Jan 17 Revised to Jun 17due to delay in engage ment with UHW and the operatio nal challeng es in their fetal service | Amber – behind plan | Outstanding – patient feedback; survey complete ready to go to QIS group before circulation Mar'17 foetal survey being sent out having been for FI feedback which has been incorporated. April'17 letter sent to all identified families to prewarn and request agreement to receive survey, survey out this week. On target for June closure As above |
| | | | | | | | Co-design the offer with patient representatives for women whose fetus has been diagnosed with cardiac anomaly and deliver agreed model. | CHD Network Manager | Apr 17 | Amber – behind plan | Feb mtg -Focus group to come from survey results |

Page **10** of **33**



| | | | Progress ov | erview/ | | | Detailed actions | | | | |
|-----|---|--|---------------------|---------------------------|--|--|---|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| No. | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completio n date | Status | Delivery Risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Mar'17 as above |
| | | | | | | | New pathways in place | CHD Network Clinical Director and Network Manager | Apr '17 Revised to Jun 17 | Amber – behind plan | Feb mtg - Summary paper showing previous and new ways of working, detailing an assessment of the benefits; Pathways to follow completion of actions above |
| 5 | The South West and Wales Network should regard it as a priority in its development to | CHD Network Clinical Director | Apr '17 | Amber – behind plan | Risk that we are unable to get commitment / agreement | Final completion delayed to May 17 due to initial delay getting engagement | Network Manager and Network Clinical Director to contact Welsh Commissioners and University of Hospital of Wales to meet to discuss and agree process including method of monitoring its implementation | CHD Network Manager | Nov 16 | Green- complete | |
| | achieve better co- ordination between the paediatric cardiology service in | | | | on the changes that are required across the | from UHW | Set up joint working group set up with Network Team facilitating. UHB, UHW and commissioners to deliver the relevant actions and improvements required for service. | CHD Network Manager | Dec 16 | Green- complete | Minutes of meeting and action plan |
| | Wales and the paediatric cardiac services in Bristol. | | | | two hospitals / commissioni | | To define the opportunities for improvement in coordination and the actions to achieve this | CHD Network Manager | Dec 16 | Green- complete | Action plan |
| | | | | | ng bodies Risk that lack of paediatric cardiology lead in UHW delays the ability to | | To undertake a patient engagement exercise (e.g. focus group, survey, online reference group) to test the proposed options for improvement | CHD Network Manager | Jan 17 | Green - complete | Feb mtg - Proposal sent to virtual ref group, 1 response to date which will be incorporated into plans; any further feedback received will be incorporated |
| | | | | | undertake actions | | Deliver actions to improve coordination | CHD Network Manager | May 17 | Blue- on target | Feb mtg - improved in-pt transfer process; joint audit and training; improved |



| | | | Progress ov | erview/ | | | De | tailed actions | | | |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------|-------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|------|--------|--|
| No. | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completio n date | Status | Delivery Risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence |
| | | | | | | | | | | | IT for sharing images; standardised patient information; further changes required to meet recommendation April'17 work ongoing, improvements identified, awaiting contact from UHW on target for May closure May'17 RTC presented and approved by delivery group; work plan for network devised and approved by network board; reviewed quarterly by trust board and annually by commissioners. Welsh cons now have JCC in their job plans to support attendance. Review of process at JCC req to ensure that appropriate clinicians are present for discussions. CNS work plan being reviewed to support peripheral services. |



| | | | Progress ov | verview | | | Detailed actions | | | | |
|-----|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------|--|---|--|---------|--------------------|---|
| No. | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completio n date | Status | Delivery Risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Commitment to provide CNS cover for all additional outpatient services at UHW |
| 7 | The paediatric cardiac service in Bristol should carry | Deputy Divisional Director | Jan '17 | Red - behind plan, | None | Timescale change request to Feb'17 to | Audit proposal submitted to the audit facilitator for inclusion on the Children's annual audit plan | Patient Safety Manager | Aug '16 | Green- complete | Audit proposal |
| | out periodic audit of follow-up care to ensure that the care is in line with the intended treatment | | | impact on recommen dation delivery date and/or benefits | | provide assurance about backlog validation | Conduct 1 st annual audit into follow up care for cardiac patients as per recommendation | Patient Safety Manager | Nov '16 | Green- complete | Audit report |
| | plan, including with regards to the timing of follow-up appointments. | | | delivery | | Timescale change request to May 17 in view of requirement to validate backlog | Report findings of the audit | Patient Safety Manager | Jan '17 | Green- complete | Audit presentation and W&C delivery group Agenda and minutes November meeting |
| | | | | | | to establish risk – item added to risk register | System developed for the regular reporting and review of follow up waiting lists at monthly Cardiac Business meeting. | Assistant General Manager for Paediatric Cardiac Services | Aug '16 | Green- complete | Follow up backlog report, Cardiac Monthly Business meeting standard agenda Feb mtg – validation work ongoing; added to RR (VM/FU) action can be RTC once complete and any risks established Mar'17 validation complete; options for delivering additional activity being scoped as described above. April'17 validation |
| | | | | | | | | | | | ongoing, capacity gap identified, locum advert, |



| | | | Progress ov | erview | | | Detailed actions | | | | |
|-----|---|-----------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---------|--------------------|---|
| No. | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completio n date | Status | Delivery Risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence |
| | | | | | | | | | | | space being identified. Trajectory will be in place for May closure. May'17 RTC presented to group. Clear trajectory presented for what is required to happen to address the backlog and also recurrent capacity gaps. RTC rejected on the basis of the requirement for more progress on the proposed plans to address the backlog in view of remaining risks re: funding; clinic space; clinician agreement to undertake WLI. To return to June mtg when there will be more clarity on these elements. |
| 8 | The Trust should monitor the experience of children and families to ensure that improvements in the | Nurse Project Lead | Oct '16 | Approved as closed by Steering Group (09/01/17) | | | Baseline assessment (monthly outpatient survey) of current experience of children and families in outpatients reviewed) | Outpatients Experience working group | Aug '16 | Green- complete | 1.Outpatients and Clinical Investigations Unit Service Delivery Terms of |



| | | | Progress o | verview | | | De | tailed actions | | | |
|-----|---|-----------------|---------------------|---|-------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|----------|--------------------|---|
| No. | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completio n date | Status | Delivery Risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence |
| | organisation of outpatient clinics have been effective. | | | 22/11/16- approved for closure by W&C delivery group | | | Gap analysis of current monitoring vs monitoring required to understand patients experience of the organisation of outpatient's completed | Outpatients Experience working group | Sept '16 | Green- complete | Reference 2. Outpatients and Clinical Investigations Unit Service Delivery Group |
| | | | | | | | Systems in place for regular and specific monitoring, and reviewing and acting on results (FI) | Outpatients & CIU Service Delivery Group | Oct '16 | Green-complete | Agenda(3.10.16) 3. Outpatients and Clinical Investigations Unit Service Delivery minutes of meeting (3.10.16) 4. OPD Patient Experience Report (October 2016) 5. Paediatric Cardiology – Non-Admitted RTT Recovery (Appendix 1) 6. Cardiology Follow-Up backlog update (Appendix 7. Project on a Page: Outpatient Productivity at BRHC (Appendix 7) |



| | | | Progress ov | verview | | | Detailed actions | | | | |
|-----|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| No. | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completio n date | Status | Delivery Risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence |
| 9 | In the light of concerns about the continuing pressure on cardiologists and the facilities and resources available, the Children's Hospital should benchmark itself against comparable centres and make the necessary changes which such an exercise demonstrates as being necessary. | Divisional Director | Jan'17 | Red - behind plan, impact on recommen dation delivery date and/or benefits delivery | Risk that other sites are unable to share data required to complete a comprehensi ve benchmarkin g exercise Dependent on the action required to address the gaps it may | Request to delay to Feb '17 due to late return of benchmarking Request to delay to Mar'17 as some benchmarking data received late; analysis ongoing with visits to be planned by Mar'17 | Undertake benchmarking exercise with other CHD Networks, reviewing a defined list of criteria including aspects such as: job planning, IT and imaging links, information governance. To include site visits as appropriate | CHD Network Manager | Jan '17 | Red - behind plan, impact on recomme ndation delivery date and/or benefits delivery | Feb mtg - Benchmarking data collection analysis ongoing Site visits dates to be agreed for Mar mtg (JD) Mar'17 RTC supported by delivery group with the caveat that the action plan is held by the cardiac business meeting for completion |
| | | | | | not be possible to have implemented all the changes in the timescale. | | Identification of actions required to address the gaps | CHD Network Manager | Jan '17 | Red - behind plan, impact on recomme ndation delivery date and/or benefits delivery | Gaps to be identified from completion of analysis; action held by Cardiac business group (JD) |
| | | | | | | | Progress to implementing any changes in practice that are deemed necessary | CHD Network Manager and Divisional Director | Jan '17 Revised to Feb '17. Delayed respons es from other centres | Red - behind plan, impact on recomme ndation delivery date and/or benefits delivery | As above, change implementation plan to be devised following gap analysis (JD) |



| | | | Progress o | verview | | | Detailed actions | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|---------------------|---|-------------------|--|---|---|---------|--------------------|--|--|
| No. | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completio n date | Status | Delivery Risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence | |
| 11 | That the paediatric cardiac service benchmarks its current arrangements against other comparable centres, to ensure that its ability, as a tertiary 'Level 1' centre under the NCHD Standards, to communicate with a 'Level 2' centre, are adequate and sufficiently resourced. Benchmarking would require a study both of the technical resources underpinning good communication, and the physical capacity of clinicians to attend planning meetings such as the JCC (Links to recommendation no. 5) | CHD Network Clinical Director | Jan'17 | Red - behind plan, impact on recommen dation delivery date and/or benefits delivery | | ogress against deliv | Actions detailed under recommendation no. 9 will a very and evidence will be the same as per recomm | | | | | |
| 16 | As an interim measure pending any national guidance, that the paediatric cardiac service in the Trust reviews its practice to ensure that there is consistency of approach in the information provided to parents about the involvement of other | Clinical Lead for Cardiac Services and Consultant Paediatric Cardiac Surgeon | Dec '16 | Red – second revision of timescales | | Request delay to Feb'17 to allow update of catheter leaflets in line with surgery ones Request delay to Mar'17 to allow completion of intervention leaflet and consideration | Enhance existing guidance to describe team working and in particular the involvement of other operators and team members in patient care. Review by the Trust wide consent group and Cardiac Clinical Governance for approval and then implement. | Consultant Paediatric Surgeon and Specialist Clinical Psychologist | Dec '16 | Green- complete | Revised 'Preparing for Surgery' leaflet and email to surgeons about new guidance VG/LS to add updated leaflets to website Consider revision of ward 32's leaflet to replicate changes made | |



| | | | Progress ov | verview | | | Detailed actions | | | | |
|-----|--|----------------------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------|--|--|--|---------|--------------------|--|
| No. | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completio n date | Status | Delivery Risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence |
| 18 | That steps be taken by the Trust to review the adequacy of the procedures for assessing risk in in relation to reviewing | Deputy Divisional Director | Nov '16 | Red – second revision of timescales | | Request delay to Feb'17 to allow implementation of new cancellation to be included. | Assessment of current process of risk assessing patients who have been cancelled and the timing of their rescheduled procedure | Cardiac Review Programme Manager | Aug '16 | Green- complete | (ST) Complete changes to interventional leaflet (AP) and produce in draft as a trial for use with patients (ST). Mar'17 Booklets produced and formatted; shared widely for family input; signed off by business meeting with all comments incorporated prior to printing, trial and evaluation – RTC supported by delivery group Current process review report |
| | cancellations and the timing of rescheduled procedures within paediatric cardiac services. | | | | | policy Request delay to Mar'17 to allow development of next steps SOP to support process Request to delay to May '17 to enable the demonstration of the implementation of the process to risk assess | Develop new and improved process for risk assessing cancelled patients ensuring outcomes of this are documented | Consultant Paediatric Surgeon and Cardiac Review Programme Manager | Nov '16 | Green- complete | JCC performance review meeting agenda and cancelled operations report Sops for cancellation and next steps being reviewed/devised for presentation at Mar'17 mtg (ST) March'17 delivery group felt unable to sign off recommendation; |

Page **18** of **33**



| | | | Progress ov | erview | | | Detailed actions | | | | |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------|-------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----|------|--------|--|
| No. | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completio n date | Status | Delivery Risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence |
| | | | | | | patients adequately | | | | | all documentation has been produced to support the process however we have been unable to evidence that the process is being followed robustly April'17 process in place to risk assessment cancelled patients, assurance process during May with a view to closing at May mtg. May'17 not presented for closure as process in place and being documented however only 2 weeks documentation available to support closure and therefore agreement to defer to June mtg to ensure sufficient evidence to support process embedded in practice. Consider incorporating some of the processes used at the Evelina re |

Page **19** of **33**



| | | | Progress ov | verview | | | De | tailed actions | | | |
|-----|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|----------|--------------------|--|
| No. | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completio n date | Status | Delivery Risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence |
| | | | | | | | | | | | cancellation and performance oversight (VM/RT) |
| 20 | That the Trust should set out a timetable for the | Deputy Divisional Director | Nov '16 | Green- complete | None | | End-of-life care and bereavement support pathway developed (FI) | Deputy Divisional Director | Sept '16 | Green- complete | End-of-life and bereavement support pathway |
| | establishment of appropriate services for end-of-life care and bereavement support. | | | | | | Implementation and roll out of new pathway | Deputy Divisional Director | Nov '16 | Green- complete | Communication and presentations to roll out |
| 21 | Commissioners should give priority to the need to provide adequate | Commission ers | | Green- complete (provider actions) | | | Previous submission to commissioners for psychological support updated | Head of Psychology Services | Sept '16 | Green- complete | Submission to Commissions |
| | funds for the provision of a comprehensive service of psychological support | | | | | | Expression of Interest for increased resource to be submitted as part of business planning | Head of Psychology Services / Deputy Divisional Director | Mar'17 | Green- complete | Expression of interest and W&C Business plan Mar 17 update Recruitment completed RTC supported by delivery group |
| 23 | That the BRHC confirm, by audit or other suitable means of review, that effective action has | Deputy Divisional Director | Dec '16 | Green- complete | None | | Review results of Trust wide Manchester Patient Safety (MAPSAF) to understand current baseline for both team level and divisional staff views on patient safety incident reporting and management | Deputy Divisional Director | Sept '16 | Green- complete | |
| | been taken to ensure that staff possess a shared understanding of the nature of patient safety incidents and how they should be ranked. | | | | | | Annual programme- Targeted approach to all staff groups to be developed with implementation of bespoke training and regular updates to clinical staff | Deputy Divisional Director | Dec '16 | Green- complete | Training plan and log of attendance |



| | | | Progress ov | /erview | | | Detailed actions | | | | |
|-----------|--|---|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| No. | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completio n date | Status | Delivery Risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence |
| CQ C.2 | Provision of a formal report of transoesophageal or epicardial echocardiography performed during surgery | Clinical Lead for Cardiac Services | Nov '16 | Red – second revision of timescales | | Mar '17 Delayed to allow audit to demonstrate improvement Mar'17 Request to delay to May '17 to enable the demonstration of robust and consistent implementation | ECHO form for reporting in theatres implemented Audit to assess implementation (Nov'16) and request to Steering Group to close | Consultant Paediatric Cardiologist Patient Safety Manager | Aug '16 Nov '16 Revised to Mar 17 Revised to May 17 | Green-complete Red – second revision of timescale s | Repeat audit results expected at Mar'17 delivery group with a view to proposing closure of recommendation (JM/BS) Mar'17 audit shows improvement however not 100% compliance at present therefore further communication to clinicians and reaudit prior to closure April'17 reaudit planned for May 17 with a view to closure at May delivery group; comms going out to all teams re the importance of these records and location on electronic patient record system May'17 RTC presented in view of further audit; approved for closure in view of significant improvement in completion of forms, use of |



| | | | Progress o | verview | | | De | etailed actions | | | |
|------------|---|--------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------|---|--|--------------------|---|--------------------|---|
| No. | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completio n date | Status | Delivery Risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence |
| CQ C. 3 | Recording pain and comfort scores in | Ward 32 Manager | Aug '16 | Green- complete | | | Documentation developed to record pain scores more easily | Ward 32 Manager | Jan'16 | Green-complete | correct forms, consistent filing position on Evolve. 100% compliance for the small cohort of patients able to be audited since the previous audit. Plan to reaudit in Aug 17 to ensure process embedded in practice. Nursing documentation |
| | line with planned care and when pain relief is changed to evaluate practice | | | 22/11/16- approved for closure by W&C delivery group | | | Complete an audit on existing practise and report findings | Ward 32 Manager | Aug '16 | Green- complete | Audit of nursing documentation |
| CQ C. 4 | Ensuring all discussions with parents are recorded to avoid inconsistency in communication. This includes communications with the Cardiac Liaison Nurses, who should record contacts with families in the patient records (links with review recommendation 12) | Head of Nursing | Dec '16 | Amber- behind target | | Request delay to Feb'17 to ensure process is robust Request delay to Apr'17 in view of potential training needs for staff | Work with Cardiac Nurse Specialists to improve recording communication in the patients' medical records and review option of Medway proforma's to support recording in notes | Head of Nursing | Dec '16 Feb 17 revised timescal e for wider issue | Green- complete | Examples of stickers in notes and Heartsuite entries Audit of compliance to be undertaken by MG/VG pre Mar mtg Process to provide consistent recording in accessible patient records to be established (ST) Mar'17 Medway record in place and in use; RTC supported by delivery group subject to audit of |



| | | | Progress ov | verview | | | De | tailed actions | | | |
|------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--|---|---|---------|---------------------|--|
| No. | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completio n date | Status | Delivery Risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence |
| | | | | | | | | | | | quality of records to return to delivery group April 17 (MG/VG) |
| CQ C. 5 | Providing written material to families relating to diagnosis and recording this in the records. (links to review recommendation 3) | Clinical Lead for Cardiac Services | Apr '17 | Blue- on target | sheets produc | ed and formatted; s | Actions detailed under recommendation no. 3 will a hared widely for family input; signed off by governated by delivery group. | | | | |
| CQ C.6 | Ensuring that advice from all professionals involved with individual children is | Head of Allied Health Professional s and Clinical | Jan '17 | Green- complete | | Agreed mechanism for including AHP advice into discharge | Assessment of current Allied Health Professionals input into discharge planning for Cardiac Services Audit completed and results to be formulated 27 th October 2016. | Head of Allied Health Professional s | Oct '16 | Green- complete | Assessment documentation |
| | included in discharge planning to ensure that all needs are addressed. | Lead for Cardiac Services | | | | planning for children within Cardiac Services | Agree with Cardiac Services Team an effective mechanism for including Allied Health Professionals into discharge planning for Cardiac Services. Meeting setup for 4 th November. | Head of Allied Health Professional s and Clinical Lead for Cardiac Services | Nov'16 | Green- complete | Agreed mechanism for including AHP advice into discharge planning for children within Cardiac Services |
| | | | | | | | Implement agreed mechanism for including Allied Health Professionals into discharging planning for Cardiac Services | Head of Allied Health Professional s and Clinical Lead for Cardiac Services | Jan 17 | Blue – on target | Implementation plan delivery report |

Trust wide Incidents and Complaints Delivery Group Action Plan - Senior Responsible Officer; Helen Morgan, Deputy Chief Nurse

TW Incidents and complaints delivery timeframe - May 2017

| MONTH | Oct '16 | Nov | Dec '16 | Jan '17 | Feb '17 | Mar '17 | Apr' 17 | May '17 | Jun '17 |
|-------|---------|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| MONTH | 001 10 | 1404 | DCC 10 | oan ii | Feb 17 | wai ir | Abi ii | Way 17 | ouii 17 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |



| | '16 | 6 | | | | |
|-----------------|-----|---|---|--|--|--|
| Recommendations | | 28-That guidance be drawn up which identifies when, and if so, how, an 'independent element' can be introduced into the handling of those complaints or investigations which require it. Request to delay to Feb '17 Feb mtg – sufficient evidence to complete recommendation to close for March meeting but now red as did not meet revised date; Evidence complete, RTC to Apr steering – recommendation supported for closure 4/4/17 | 26- Development of an integrated process for the management of complaints and all related investigations-timescale changed from Jan '17 to Jun '17Mar mtg progress noted; work still to do re integrating adult information and further FI following inclusion of their comments to date April'17 all documentation complete, some documents require ratification however these have already had executive oversight therefore RTC to be submitted to Steering 2/5/17 May'17 accepted for closure by May steering | | 29 - Options for more effective handling of complaints, including the introduction of an independent element, serious consideration be given to offering as early as possible, alternative forms of dispute resolution, such as medical mediation. Mar mtg – evidence complete; awaiting outcome of QAC to recommend next steps before RTC April'17 QAC approved training option and evaluate impact, CS to investigate other options; HM to discuss procurement/trust wide process with CM for agreement to progress to mediation. Recommendation requirements met therefore RTC to be submitted to Steering 2/5/17 May'17 accepted for closure by May steering | 27- Design of the processes (26) should take account also of the need for guidance and training for clinical staff as regards liaising with families and enabling effective dialogue Mar mtg – evidence complete; action plans for ongoing monitoring in place therefore RTC to be submitted to the Apr steering group and supported for closure 4/4/17 |
| | | 30 - Review its procedures to ensure that patients or families are offered not only information about any changes in practice, seek feedback on its | | | | |



| effectiveness, but also the opportunity to be involved in designing those changes and overseeing their implementation-timescale changed from Dec '16 to Apr'16 | |
|--|--|
| Mar mtg progress noted; work still to do May'17 work all completed, documents produced to | |
| support closure of recommendation; review by VRG and ratification through Clinical Quality Group completed, supported by delivery group for closure. | |

| | | | Progress overvie | w | | | Detail | ed actions | | | |
|-----|--|-----------------|---|------------------------|-------------------|---|--|--|-------------|---|--|
| No | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completion date of recommendation | Status | Delivery risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence |
| 26. | That the Trust should explore urgently the development of an integrated process for the management of complaints and all related investigations | Chief Nurse | Jan '17 | Green- Compl ete | | Jun'17 additional and amended actions to fulfil recommen dation | 26.1 Develop an appendix to the Serious Incident (SI) policy defining "link" between Child Death Review (CDR), complaints and SI investigations / reporting, includes adults and children. | Women and Children's Head of Governance | July '16 | Green- Complete Approved by delivery group 15.11.16 | Link between serious incidents and other investigatory procedures (e.g. Complaints and Child Death Review) July 2016 |
| | following either a death of a child or a serious incident, taking account of the work of the NHS England's Medical Directorate on this matter. Clear guidance should be given to patients or | | | | | | 26.2 Develop and implement guidance for staff in children's services on standards procedures / practices that need to be followed to provide a high quality and equitable service for all patients / families in the event of bereavement. | Women and Children's Head of Governance | Dec '16 | Green – complete. 10.01.17 5/8 members approved remainder virtually. | Document approved within the Division via Quality Assurance Group. Monitored weekly at the Bereavement Group. Audit Apr 17 |



| | | | Progress overvie | w | | | Detail | ed actions | | | |
|----|--|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--------|-------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--------------|--|--|
| No | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completion date of recommendation | Status | Delivery risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence |
| | parents about the function and purpose of each element of an investigation, how they may contribute if they so choose, and how their | | | | | | 20 2 Davidso and implement suidence for steff in | lland of | Jul '16 | Croon | Audit of compliance complete; action plan sits with bereavement group |
| | contributions will be reflected in reports. Such guidance should also draw attention to any sources of support which they may draw upon. | | | | | | 26.3 Develop and implement guidance for staff in adult services on standards procedures / practices that need to be followed to provide a high quality and equitable service for all patients / families in the event of bereavement. Supplementary | Head of Quality (Patient Safety) | Jul 16 | Green- Complete | Guidance for Supporting and Working with patients/families after unexpected death of an adult or a serious incident involving an adult, July 2016 (latest version) |
| | | | | | | | 26.4 Develop 'guidance' / information for families in children's services how the x3 processes of Child Death Review (CDR) / Serious Investigation (SI) / Root Cause Analysis (RCA) investigation inquests and complaints are initiated / managed and integrate (FI) | Women and Children's Head of Governance | April '17 | Green action complete Mar mtg action complete | Unformatted version sent to VRG group for comment on content with an associated leaflet to demonstrate format; comments incorporated to add in adult version and resend to VRG |
| | | | | | | | 26.5 Develop 'guidance' / information for staff in children's services on how the x3 processes of CDR / SI / RCA investigation inquests and complaints are initiated / managed and integrate. | Women and Children's Head of Governance | Dec '16 | Green action complete Due for presentati on at February 17 meeting Now rated red as not approved at meeting Mar mtg – action | Draft guidance presented; comments from group members to be incorporated and represented at March 2017 meeting SOP completed; to go to Mar QAC and implement; audit initially at |

Page **26** of **33**



| | Progress overview | | | | | | Detail | ed actions | | | |
|----|---|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------|--|--|
| No | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completion date of recommendation | Status | Delivery risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence |
| | | | | | | | | | | complete | 6/12 but then annually. Laura Westaway identified lead for audit. |
| | | | | | | | 26.6 Develop the above staff guidance for adult patients and families (minus CDR) - Supplementary | Head of Quality (Patient Safety) | Dec '16 | Green – action complete | As above Complete, signed off by CQG |
| | | | | | | | 26.7 Develop the above family guidance for adult patients and families (minus CDR) (FI) Supplementary | Head of Quality (Patient Safety) | Apr '17 | Green – action complete | Leaflet produced but ongoing discussion around the process of sharing a draft RCA with family Links to rec 30 Apr'17 guidance complete, for ratification at CQG 4/4/17 |
| | | | | | | | 26.8 Review options for how patients / families can participate (if they want to) with the SI RCA process implement preferred options (FI). | Head of Quality (Patient Safety) | Jun '17 | Green – action complete | As above Apr'17 guidance complete, for ratification at CQG 4/4/17 |
| | | | | | | | 26.9 Implement a process for gaining regular feedback from patients / families involved in a SI RCAs process to understand what it felt like for them and how we can improve the process for them (FI) | Head of Quality (Patient Safety) | Jun '17 | Green – action complete | Ongoing work on how to achieve this Apr'17 process complete, for ratification at CQG 4/4/17 |
| 27 | That the design of the processes we refer to should take account also of the need for guidance and training for clinical staff as regards liaising with families and enabling effective | Chief Nurse | Apr '17 | Green - comple ted | | | 27.1 Guidance developed for staff for the preparation and conduct of meetings with parents/families to discuss concerns and/or adverse event feedback | Medical Director | Jun '16 | Green- complete Action approved 10.01.17 pending any further comments within 1 week. | Guidance for the Preparation and Conduct of Meetings with Parents/Families to discuss concerns and/or adverse event feedback, June 2016 |

Page **27** of **33**



| | | | Progress overvie | w | | | Detail | ed actions | | | |
|----|--|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------|--|---|
| No | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completion date of recommendation | Status | Delivery risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence |
| | dialogue. | | | | | | As per actions 26.4 and 26.5, included in recommend 27.2 Develop a framework for training staff to | dation no. 26 to o | develop gu | idance for sta | aff Training updated |
| | | | | | | | support them to effectively and sensitively manage processes relating to CDR/SI's and complaints. Develop and pilot session. Existing complaints training materials to be reviewed and updated to include guidance on supporting families in circumstances where a complaint is being investigated alongside a CDR or SI. January 2017. Other bespoke training opportunities to be considered in light of development of staff guidance by Children's Services (see 26.5), due April 2017. | Quality (Patient Experience and Clinical Effectivenes s) And Head of Quality (Patient Safety) | 17 | target | for pt safety, RCA, induction and complaints – add link to new documents developed as part of this action plan and then complete. BRHC training programme complete Plans for next steps to combine training for pt safety for BRHC and adults. Evidence to be provided for where & to whom training is being delivered then RTC |
| 28 | That guidance be drawn up which identifies when, and if so, how, an 'independent element' can be introduced into the | Chief Nurse | Apr '17 | Green - comple ted | | Request to delay to Feb ' 17 | 28.1 To review UHBristol's previous use of independent review / benchmarking from other trusts to inform above. - Complaints - RCA's | Patient Support and Complaints Manager and Patient Safety Manager | Nov '16 Nov '16 | Green- complete Action approved 10.01.17 | Reports of the Reviews undertaken and available in evidence folder |
| | handling of those complaints or investigations which require it. | | | | | | 28.2 Develop guidance for when to access 'independent advise / review' for - Complaints | Head of Quality (Patient Experience and Clinical Effectivenes | Oct '16 | Green – Complete Action approved 14.2.17 | Complaints policy |

Page **28** of **33**



| | Progress overview | | | | | | Detail | ed actions | | | |
|----|---|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|--|--|------------|---------------------|--|
| No | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completion date of recommendation | Status | Delivery risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence |
| | | | | | | | - SI RCAs | s) And Head of Quality (Patient Safety) | Dec '16 | | Serious Incident Policy (appendix 9, pg. 33) Email from CS to all divisions on 6 th February 2017 |
| | | | | | | | 28.3 The Trust has entered into exploratory discussions with the Patients Association about developing a model for exceptional independent investigation/review. This work will commence with a focus group of previous dissatisfied complainants in February 2017. | Head of Quality (Patient Experience and Clinical Effectivenes s) | Mar '17 | Green – complete | Focus meeting planned but not until May 17 due to pt assoc availability; letter of invitation to be added to evidence; ongoing assurance to be held by PEG RTC to be completed |
| | | | | | | | 28.4 Consider how an independent review can be introduced for 2 nd time dissatisfied complainants / involve users in developing a solution. | Head of Quality (Patient Experience and Clinical Effectivenes s) | Oct '16 | Green- complete | This action has been completed |
| 29 | That as part of the process of exploring the options for more effective handling of complaints, including the introduction of an independent element, serious consideration be given to offering as | Chief Nurse | Apr '17 | Green- Compl ete | | | 29.0 Visit the Evelina to understand their model for mediation and possible replication at UHBristol. A report will be presented following the visit to consider next steps and possible resource implications. - Action reviewed and agreed to receive a presentation from the Medical Mediation Foundation who provide the Evelina service. | SRO for I&C | Feb 17 | Green - Complete | Medical Mediation Foundation meeting completed on 9/3/17. Feedback written up and sent to BRHC Quality Assurance |

Page **29** of **33**



| | | | Progress overvie | w | | | Deta | iled actions | | | |
|----|---|-----------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------|---|--|---|------------|----------------------|--|
| No | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completion date of recommendation | Status | Delivery risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence |
| 30 | early as possible, alternative forms of dispute resolution, such as medical mediation. That the Trust should review its procedures to ensure that patients or families are offered not only information about any changes in practice introduced as a result of a complaint or incident involving them or their families and seek feedback on its effectiveness, but also the opportunity to be involved in designing those changes and overseeing their implementation. | Chief Nurse | Dec '16 | Red – Deliver y revised twice | | Apr '17 Revised to allow for family involvement | 30.1 Develop a clear process with timescales trustwide for feedback to families / patients outcomes involved in SI panels / review and actions ongoing from this and staff (FI). | Head of Quality (Patient Safety) | Apr '17 | Green - completed | Committee 17/3/17 for recommendation re next steps; April'17 QAC approved training option and evaluate impact. CS to continue work to investigate other options, including work with patients Association; Recommendatio n requirements met therefore RTC to be submitted to Steering 2/5/17 Links to other engagement work; likely to be completed in conjunction Mar mtg discussed all actions link to Rec 26 (points 4,7,8 & 9) Process exists within Being open policy/Duty of Candour policy. Adult sheet to be added to options available for April 17 Del group RTCApr'17 adult sheet produced |

Page **30** of **33**



| | | | Progress overview | V | | | Detai | iled actions | | | |
|----|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--------|-------------------|----------------------------|--|--|------------|--------------------|---|
| No | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completion date of recommendation | Status | Delivery risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence |
| | | | | | | | | | | | the paediatric ones already in place and agreed by BRHC QAC,, sent to VRG and to go to CQG 4/4/17 for ratification; agreed RTC May 17 once feedback and ratification & closure of rec 26. May'17 work all completed, documents produced to support closure of recommendation; review by VRG and ratification through Clinical Quality Group completed, supported by delivery group for closure. |
| | | | | | | | 30.2 Ensure complainants are routinely asked whether and how they would like to be involved in designing changes in practice in response to the concerns they have raised (FI) | Head of Quality (Patient Experience and Clinical Effectivenes s) | Oct '16 | Green- complete | Evidence pro forma of questions used. Agreed additional action 30.3 before closing. Mar mtg - Audit data to date shows process in place and in use — more detailed audit to sit within the complaints work plan & feed |



| | Progress overview | | | | | | Detailed actions | | | | |
|----|-------------------|-----------------|---|--------|-------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--------------|--------------------|---|
| No | Recommendation | Lead Officer | Completion date of recommendation | Status | Delivery risks | Revised timescale & reason | Actions to deliver recommendations | Ву | When | Status | Evidence |
| | | | | | | | | | | | into Patient Experience Group |
| | | | | | | | 30.3 Use of process for asking patients how they would like to be involved in designing changes in practice in response to the concerns they have raised to be audited at the end of February 2017, including review of survey replies. | Head of Quality (Patient Experience and Clinical Effectivenes s) | Feb '17 | Green- complete | Audit results due to be presented at March 2017 delivery group Mar mtg - Audit data to date shows process in place and in use — more detailed audit to sit with the complaints work plan |
| | | | | | | | 30.4 Regular complainant focus groups to be held from April 2017 onwards as part of routine follow-up of people's experience of the complaints system. Ambition is for these focus groups to eventually be facilitated by previous complainants. Supplementary | Head of Quality (Patient Experience and Clinical Effectivenes s) | April '17 | Green- complete | Mar mtg – action out with original scope of Rec and will enhance effectiveness but not fundamental to completion. Process in place to ensure that complainants are asked to attend focus group. First focus group scheduled for May 17 and ongoing will sit within the complaints work plan for ongoing work and scrutiny through PEG |

Key

Red - Milestone behind plan, requirement to revise delivery date on more than one occasion; impact on recommendation delivery date and/or benefits delivery



| Α | Amber - Milestone behind plan, delivery date revised on one occasion | |
|-----|--|--|
| В | Blue - Activities on plan to achieve milestone | |
| ТВС | To be confirmed | |
| G | Complete / Closed | |
| FI | Indicates family involvement in the action(s) | |