# Independent Review of Children's Cardiac Services at the Bristol Royal Hospital for Children (BRCH)

#### 1.0 Introduction

This paper provides an update to Board members on development of the programme plan to address the recommendations for University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust and South West and Wales Congenital Heart Network as set out in the Independent Review of the children's cardiac service at the Bristol Royal Hospital for Children and a CQC expert review of clinical outcomes of the children cardiac service published on 30 June 2016. It also provides and update on work to ensure that clinical leaders and service users (young people and family members) are engaged and involved in the development and delivery of the actions within the programme plan.

#### 2.0 Programme management

The tables below details a high level progress update of delivery against the agreed programme plan for the three delivery groups. The plan shows the progress of the work that is ongoing to deliver the actions to support the closure of the recommendations. It also shows where delivery of the actions is not within the initially set timescales.

Table one shows that no recommendations were closed since the last report, one further recommendation moved to red as it was not at a stage to support completion at the delivery group meeting; four actions remain amber rated with six still on target and 9 fully completed. The delivery group status reports and action plans show where the variations are. A more detailed explanation of the reasons for the change in status to a red rating is detailed later in the report. Of the thirteen red rated recommendations nine were closed at the March meetings of the relevant delivery groups and supported for closure by the steering group meeting on the 4<sup>th</sup> of April.

			Actions	in Progress —			RECOMMENDATIONS
MONTH	Red	Amber	Blue- on target	Green- completed	твс	Not started	CLOSED BY STEERING GROUP
Sept '16	0	0	16	1	11	4	0 of 32
Oct '16	0	0	26	5	1	0	0 of 32
Nov'16	0	5	19	8	0	0	0 of 32
Dec'16	0	5	19	8	0	0	2 of 32
Jan'17	0	18	6	8	0	0	5 of 32
Feb'17	12	5	6	9	0	0	8 of 32
Mar'17	13	4	6	9	0	0	8 of 32

#### Table 1: Status all actions

# Table 2: Status Women's & Children's Delivery Group (total= 18)

	•	Actions in Progress									
MONTH	Red	Amber	Blue- on target	Green- completed	TBC Not started		CLOSED BY STEERING GROUP				
Sept '16	0	0	13	1	4	0	0 of 32				

Oct '16	0	0	15	3	0	0	0 of 32
Nov'16	0	3	9	6	0	0	0 of 32
Dec'16	0	3	9	6	0	0	2 of 32
Jan'17	0	9	3	6	0	0	5 of 32
Feb'17	6	3	3	6	0	0	5 of 32
Mar'17	7	2	3	6	0	0	5 of 32

Tab

# le 3: Consent Delivery Group (total= 5)

			Actions	in Progress —			RECOMMENDATIONS
MONTH	Red	Amber	Blue- on target	Green- completed	TBC	Not started	CLOSED BY STEERING GROUP
Sept '16	0	0	1	0	1	3	0 of 32
Oct '16	0	0	5	0	0	0	0 of 32
Nov'16	0	0	5	0	0	0	0 of 32
Dec'16	0	0	5	0	0	0	0 of 32
Jan'17	0	4	1	0	0	0	0 of 32
Feb'17	4	0	1	0	0	0	0 of 32
Mar'17	4	0	1	0	0	0	0 of 32

# Table 4: Status Incident and Complaints Delivery Group (total= 5)

	•		Actions	in Progress —			RECOMMENDATIONS
MONTH	Red	Amber	Blue- on target	Green- completed	твс	Not started	CLOSED BY STEERING GROUP
Sept '16	0	0	1	0	4	0	0 of 32
Oct '16	0	0	5	0	0	0	0 of 32
Nov'16	0	2	3	0	0	0	0 of 32
Dec'16	0	2	3	0	0	0	0 of 32
Jan'17	0	3	2	0	0	0	0 of 32
Feb'17	1	2	2	0	0	0	0 of 32
Mar'17	1	2	2	0	0	0	0 of 32

# Table 5: Status Other Actions governed by Steering Group (total=4)

Actions in Progress	RECOMMENDATIONS CLOSED BY
---------------------	------------------------------

MONTH	Red	Amber	Blue- on target	Green- completed	твс	Not started	STEERING GROUP
Sept '16	0	0	1	0	2	1	0 of 32
Oct '16	0	0	1	2	1	0	0 of 32
Nov'16	0	0	2	2	0	0	0 of 32
Dec'16	0	0	2	2	0	0	0 of 32
Jan'17	0	2	0	2	0	0	0 of 32
Feb'17	1	0	0	3	0	0	3 of 32
Mar'17	1	0	0	3	0	0	3 of 32

#### Exception report- Red actions

Recommendation 7 – (Management of follow up appointments) All actions to deliver the recommendation have been completed as has the validation of the outpatient backlog; next steps will be to provide a recovery trajectory for the backlog at the next delivery meeting with a view to signing off the recommendation by May 2017. The risk relating to the potential impact on delivery of the recommendation remains on the risk register.

Recommendation 18 – (risk assessment of cancellations) a request to close was submitted to the March '17 delivery group with associated supporting documentation to support the cancellation process in place in the hospital; the group were unable to establish from the evidence presented whether the process was embedded in practice within cardiac services and therefore did not approve the request to close. Further communication with the cardiac team and scrutiny of the process in place is planned prior to the next delivery group; the timescale for delivery has been extended to May '17 in order to ensure that any actions required to deliver the recommendation can be implemented and reviewed for efficacy.

CQC 2 – (provision of a formal echocardiogram report following surgery) the initial audit, completed in December 2016, of compliance demonstrated 73% of patients had the formal report in their records on admission to PICU; the audit was repeated in February 2017 and demonstrated an improvement to 83% with evidence in the other 27% of a record of echocardiogram being undertaken. The delivery group felt that 100% compliance with the use of the formal report template was required prior to sign off. A further audit will be undertaken and presented to the April delivery group with a view to proceeding to closure of the recommendation by May '17

Recommendation 24 – a request to close was submitted to the April steering group however the CCG representative was unable to attend and there were outstanding queries the meant the recommendation could not be closed and therefore this has been rolled to the May steering meeting.

All other red rated recommendations were supported for closure by the delivery groups and April steering group.

#### 3.0 Risks to Delivery

One new risk to delivery was added to the project risk register:

- Risk to the completion of recommendation 2 with agreed timescales due to the requirement to review the roles and responsibilities of the existing NCHDA data team in order to establish whether the additional requirements can be met from within existing resources. The score of this risk was agreed at the steering group to be 6.
- Risks remain relating to recommendations 7 and 24 with actions described above to achieve a resolution
- Risks remain relating to recommendations 4 and 5 however work is ongoing with UHW to move forward with the work required to achieve the recommendations and the foetal survey has now been sent to families within the network.

#### 3.0 Parent and young person's reference group and family involvement activities

• An extremely successful listening event took place in conjunction with the Heart Children Gloucester group on 22<sup>nd</sup> March 2017 with over 50 families in attendance and 19 new families signing up to receive the LIAISE welcome pack and ongoing information. Next steps are to use the successful format from

Gloucester to inform progress on the Exeter listening event planned for May 2017. In addition FAQs will be addressed and added to the hospital website so that information on issues affecting patients in the wider network can be accessed by all – families are signposted to this information through the network newsletter.

- The foetal pathway questionnaire has been reviewed by the virtual reference group and sent to families for completion; feedback will be collated by the Network group and used to inform next steps for foetal services and also to support the completion of recommendation 4.
- Multiple information documents have been shared with, and amended in light of comments from, the virtual reference group, these have supported the closure of recommendations 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16 and CQC actions 1,4, & 5 and have all been very well received by the group as demonstrable improvements in the services offered.
- The network website is currently out to tender with a view to going live in July 2017, the website will signpost families to the hospital website and the information held there.
- Letters are going out to patients who responded to our young persons survey advising them of the services that we will be offering to them on the back of their responses. In addition a letter has been devised to give to any new patients who show an interest in helping to improve services advising how to become part of the young persons group.

#### 4.0 Wider Communications

The progress review document has been drafted to provide an overview of progress to date for staff, families and members of the public and will shortly be added to the website.

Next steps will be to produce an overall impact report of the independent review programme, this will also feed into the over view and scrutiny committee who have asked for further visits and review towards the end of 2017.

#### 7.0 Recommendations closed

The April 2017 Steering Group approved the closure of twelve recommendations:

- recommendation 9
- recommendation 11
- recommendation 12
- recommendation 13
- recommendation 14
- recommendation 16
- recommendation 21
- recommendation 27
- recommendation 28
- CQC action 1
- CQC action 4
- CQC action 5

# Appendix 1

# PROGRESS REPORT AGAINST UH BRISTOL RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE INDEPENDENT REVIEW OF CHILDREN'S CARDIAC SERVICES – March 2017

## 1. Women's and Children's Delivery Group Action Plan

#### W&C Recommendation's delivery timeframe

MONTH	Oct '16	Nov '16	Dec '16	Jan '17	Feb '17	Mar '17	Apr' 17	May '17	Jun '17
Recomme ndations	8- Outpatients experience Approved as closed by Steering Group (09/01/17)	18- Cancelled Operations risk assessment - timescale change request to Feb'17 Change req to Mar'17 Final SOP and new Next steps SOP with transformation team March'17 delivery group felt unable to sign off recommendation; all documentation has been produced to support the process however we have been unable to evidence that the process is being followed robustly; request for a further delay to May 17 to enable the demonstration of embedding in practice.	16- communication with families about team working/ involvement of other operators timescale change request to Feb'17 Change request to Mar'17 Intervention leaflet amendment & printing as a trial pending additions Mar'17 information booklets complete and approved through the divisional assurance process; some FI comments to include and then print, trial and evaluate; RTC supported by delivery group. Subject to steering group sign off an official launch date will be established and communicated to all staff.	7- periodic audit of follow up care timescale change request to Feb'17 Change request to May'17 in view of numbers of outpatients and inpatients requiring validation to establish risk – added to RR Mar'17 initial validation of data completed; next steps to return to April mtg to consider alternative accommodation for additional clinics and associated costs and equipment requirements		21- (Commissioner) - provision of a comprehensive service of Psychological support, Trust- Expression of Interest submission (green- provider actions) Mar'17 RTC supported by the delivery group in view of successful recruitment	2- NCHDA data team staffing Mar'17 recommendation added to IR risk register (is also on divisional risk register) as no current solution in place to provide additional resource to the data collection team.		
		20- End of life care and bereavement support (approved as closed by Steering group 07/02/17)	23- reporting and grading of patient safety issues (approved as closed by Steering group 07/02/17)	9 &11- Benchmarking exercise (gaps/actions/implement plan) timescale change request to Feb'17 Change request Mar'17 - benchmarking almost complete - action plan to be devised Mar'17 feedback provided to support the RTC of recommendations with the caveat that, as the action plan is a work in progress it would be held and progressed by the cardiac business meeting.			3 & CQC 5- review access to information – diagnosis and pathway of care Mar'17 rec. 3 progressing to plan CQC 5 supported for closure in view of the production of information sheets to support over 33 different operations; FI comments to be incorporated and then print, trial and evaluate		



CQC 3- Pain and comfort scores Approved as closed by Steering Group (06/12/16)	CQC 4 CNS recording of discussions with families in notes timescale change request to Feb'17 Change request to Apr 17 to allow for additional training Mar17 delivery group supported RTC in view of provision of medway communications page in use and accessible to all appropriate staff; plan to audit quality of records and return to delivery group.	CQC 6- Discharge planning to include AHP advice (approved as closed by Steering group 07/02/17)	4- Support for women accessing fetal services between Wales and Bristol – timescale change request to Jun '17 Mar'17 update, FI review of questionnaire complete, letter produced to	
CQC 2 Formal ECHO report during surgery change request to Mar'17 to allow re-audit Mar'17 re-audit shows an improvement in the use of the echo forms however they are still not in use 100% of times. Request to amend delivery date to May'17 to allow for reaudit			<ul> <li>5- Improved pathways of care paed.</li> <li>cardiology services between Wales and Bristol – <i>timescale change request to May</i> '17</li> </ul>	

			Progress or	verview			Detailed actions					
No.	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completio n date	Status	Delivery Risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence	
2	That the Trust should review the adequacy of staffing to support NCHDA's audit and collection of data.	Deputy Divisional Director	Apr '17	Blue- on target	None		Review of staffing	Assistant General Manager for Paediatric Cardiac Services	Sept '17	Green- complete	Staffing review report	
							Results and recommendations reported at Women's and Children's Delivery Group in Sept. '16.	Assistant General Manager for Paediatric Cardiac Services	Sept '17	Green- complete	Women's and Children's Delivery Group Agenda and minutes 20.09.16	



			Progress ov	verview			De	tailed actions			
No.	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completio n date	Status	Delivery Risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
							Requirement for additional staff will feed into business round 2016-17	Assistant General Manager for Paediatric Cardiac Services	Apr' 17	Blue- on target	Expression of interest form and Women's and Children's Operating Plan Feb Meeting – review of current resources (FU/VM) Mar'17 added to IR RR in view of concerns over ability to meet recommendation requirements due to lack of support for additional resource
3	That the Trust should review the information given to families at the point of diagnosis (whether antenatal	Specialist Clinical Psychologist	Apr '17	Blue- on target			Information given to families at the point of diagnosis reviewed by the clinical team and the cardiac families – remaining information for Catheter Procedures and Discharge leaflet. Website and leaflets updated to reflect improvements	Clinical Team & Cardiac Families	Jan' 16	Green- complete	Revised patient information leaflets
	or post-natal), to ensure that it covers not only diagnosis but also the						Links to access relevant information to be added to the bottom of clinic letters for patients.	Specialist Clinical Psychologist	Dec '16	Green - Complete	Clinic letter with links (examples Feb mtg docs)
	proposed pathway of care. Attention should be paid to the means by which such information is conveyed, and the use of internet and						Review and amendment of Catheter and Discharge leaflet	Cardiac CNS team	Feb' 17	Green - Complete	Revised Catheter and Discharge leaflet Feb mtg – this may replicate work in recomm 16 CNS team to check (JH/ST)
	electronic resources to supplement leaflets and letters.						Enhance existing information with a visual diagram displaying pathways of care (FI).	Specialist Clinical Psychologist	Apr' 17	Blue- on target	Pathways of Care devised – update to come to Mar'17 mtg re opportunities to link with Network website to enable interactive



			Progress ov	verview			De	etailed actions			
No.	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completio n date	Status	Delivery Risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
							Website proposal to be written for new Children's website including cardiac information similar to Evelina to improve accessibility of our information. <i>This will be</i> <i>additional and not essential for delivery of the</i> <i>recommendation</i> (FI).	LIAISE Team Manager and Specialist Clinical Psychologist	tbc	Started	functionality VG/LS to discuss timescales to share with Virtual group Mar'17 visual pathways shared at listening event – supportive of structure and content; charitable funding secured; designer commissioned with a timescale of draft drawings by April 17 mtg for RTC
							Smart phone App proposal to be written for Cardiac Services to enable patient/families to access information electronically (FI). This will be additional and not essential for delivery of the recommendation	LIAISE Team Manager and Specialist Clinical Psychologist	tbc	Not started	
4	That the Commissioners and providers of fetal cardiology services in Wales should review the availability of support for women, including for any transition to	CHD Network Clinical Director	Apr '17	Amber – behind plan	Risk that we are unable to get commitment / agreement on the changes that are required across the	Jun 17 due to delay in engagement with UHW and the operational challenges in their fetal cardiology service	<ul> <li>Meeting arranged for 18<sup>th</sup> November with English and Welsh commissioners as well as Bristol and Cardiff trusts to establish: <ol> <li>Commissioner oversight of network</li> <li>Commissioner support for IR actions (4,5 &amp; 11)</li> <li>Establishment of working group(s) to address the specific changes in practices required</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	CHD Network Clinical Director and Network Manager	Nov '16	Green - complete	Agreed pathway of care in line with new CHD standards and in line with patient feedback

University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust

			Progress ov	verview			De	tailed actions			
No.	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completio n date	Status	Delivery Risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
	Bristol or other specialist tertiary centres. For example, women whose fetus is diagnosed with a cardiac anomaly and are delivering their baby in Wales should be offered the opportunity, and be				two hospitals / commissioni ng bodies Risk that operational challenges in delivery of the fetal cardiology		Ahead of the meeting: define specifics of recommendation (e.g. approaches to diagnosis and counselling); options for patient involvement (survey then focus group); CHD standards that relate to this recommendation; examples of practice from other centres	CHD Network Clinical Director and Network Manager	Nov '16	Green- complete	
	supported to visit the centre in Bristol, if there is an expectation that their baby will be transferred to Bristol at some point following the birth				service in UHW prevent focus on the achievement of this recommend ation business plan		University Hospital Wales to define how additional foetal sessions will be delivered and who from foetal cardiology will lead the recommendation implementation and collaborate with Bristol to set up working group in January	Clinical Director for Acute Child Health, university hospital wales	Dec '16 Revised to Mar '17. UHW have appoint ed lead, but have not yet resolve d operatio nal issues	Green - Complete	Feb mtg – outline plan for foetal sessions, process to manage referral through acceptance criteria in short term
							Foetal working group to define changes / new pathways, taking account of patient feedback	Working group	Jan '17 Revised to Feb '17. Working group establis hed, but struggli ng to coordin ate diaries for meeting	Amber – behind plan	Feb mtg - Changes defined; joint review of approach to counselling; establishment of joint service review meeting Outstanding – patient feedback; survey complete ready to go to QIS group before circulation Mar'17 foetal survey being sent



			Progress ov	verview			De	tailed actions			
No.	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completio n date	Status	Delivery Risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
							Undertake patient survey and focus groups (FI).	CHD Network Manager	Jan '17 Revised to Jun 17due to delay in engage ment with UHW and the operatio nal challeng es in	Amber – behind plan	out having been for FI feedback which has been incorporated. As above
							Co-design the offer with patient representatives for women whose fetus has been diagnosed with cardiac anomaly and deliver agreed model. New pathways in place	CHD Network Manager CHD Network Clinical Director and Network Manager	their fetal service Apr 17 Apr 17 Revised to Jun 17	Blue- on target	Feb mtg -Focus group to come from survey results Mar'17 as above Feb mtg - Summary paper showing previous and new ways of working, detailing an assessment of the benefits; Pathways to follow completion of actions above
5	The South West and Wales Network should regard it as a	CHD Network Clinical	Apr '17	Amber – behind plan	Risk that we are unable to get	Final completion delayed to May 17 due to initial	Network Manager and Network Clinical Director to contact Welsh Commissioners and University of Hospital of Wales to meet to	CHD Network Manager	Nov 16	Green- complete	

University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust

			Progress ov	verview			De	tailed actions			
No.	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completio n date	Status	Delivery Risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
	priority in its development to achieve better co- ordination between the paediatric cardiology service in Wales and the paediatric cardiac services in Bristol.	Director			commitment / agreement on the changes that are required across the two hospitals / commissioni	delay getting engagement from UHW	discuss and agree process including method of monitoring its implementation Set up joint working group set up with Network Team facilitating. UHB, UHW and commissioners to deliver the relevant actions and improvements required for service. To define the opportunities for improvement in coordination and the actions to achieve this	CHD Network Manager CHD Network Manager	Dec 16 Dec 16	Green- complete Green- complete	Minutes of meeting and action plan Action plan
					ng bodies Risk that lack of paediatric cardiology lead in UHW delays the ability to		To undertake a patient engagement exercise ( e.g. focus group, survey, online reference group) to test the proposed options for improvement	CHD Network Manager	Jan 17	Green - complete	Feb mtg - Proposal sent to virtual ref group, 1 response to date which will be incorporated into plans; any further feedback received will be incorporated
					undertake actions		Deliver actions to improve coordination	CHD Network Manager	May 17	Blue- on target	Feb mtg - improved in-pt transfer process; joint audit and training; improved IT for sharing images; standardised patient information; further changes required to meet recommendation
7	The paediatric cardiac service in Bristol should carry	Deputy Divisional Director	Jan '17	Red - behind plan, impact on	None	Timescale change request to Feb'17 to	Audit proposal submitted to the audit facilitator for inclusion on the Children's annual audit plan	Patient Safety Manager	Aug '16	Green- complete	Audit proposal
	out periodic audit of follow-up care to ensure that the care is in line with the intended treatment			dation delivery date and/or benefits		provide assurance about backlog validation	Conduct 1 <sup>st</sup> annual audit into follow up care for cardiac patients as per recommendation	Patient Safety Manager	Nov '16	Green- complete	Audit report
	plan, including with regards to the timing of follow-up			delivery		Timescale change request to May 17 in	Report findings of the audit	Patient Safety Manager	Jan '17	Green- complete	Audit presentation and W&C delivery group Agenda and

Page **11** of **33** 



			Progress ov	verview			De	etailed actions			
No.	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completio n date	Status	Delivery Risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
	appointments.					view of requirement to validate backlog to establish risk	System developed for the regular reporting and	Assistant	Aug '16	Green-	minutes November meeting Follow up backlog
						– item added to risk register	review of follow up waiting lists at monthly Cardiac Business meeting.	General Manager for Paediatric Cardiac Services		complete	report, Cardiac Monthly Business meeting standard agenda Feb mtg – validation work ongoing; added to RR (VM/FU) action can be RTC once complete and any risks established Mar'17 validation complete; options for delivering additional activity being scoped as described above.
8	The Trust should monitor the experience of children and families to ensure that improvements in the organisation of	Nurse Project Lead	Oct '16	Approved as closed by Steering Group (09/01/17)			Baseline assessment (monthly outpatient survey) of current experience of children and families in outpatients reviewed)	Outpatients Experience working group	Aug '16	Green- complete	1.Outpatients and Clinical Investigations Unit Service Delivery Terms of Reference
	outpatient clinics have been effective.			22/11/16- approved for closure by W&C delivery group			Gap analysis of current monitoring vs monitoring required to understand patients experience of the organisation of outpatient's completed	Outpatients Experience working group	Sept '16	Green- complete	2. Outpatients and Clinical Investigations Unit Service Delivery Group



			Progress ov	verview			De	etailed actions			
No.	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completio n date	Status	Delivery Risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
							Systems in place for regular and specific monitoring, and reviewing and acting on results (FI)	Outpatients & CIU Service Delivery Group	Oct '16	Green- complete	Agenda(3.10.16)3. Outpatients and Clinical Investigations Unit Service Delivery minutes of meeting (3.10.16)4. OPD Patient Experience Report (October 2016)5. Paediatric Cardiology – Non- Admitted RTT Recovery ( Appendix 1)6. Cardiology Follow-Up backlog update (Appendix7. Project on a Page: Outpatient Productivity at BRHC (Appendix 7)
9	In the light of concerns about the continuing pressure on cardiologists and the facilities and resources available, the Children's Hospital should benchmark itself against comparable centres and make	Divisional Director	Jan'17	Red - behind plan, impact on recommen dation delivery date and/or benefits delivery	Risk that other sites are unable to share data required to complete a comprehensi ve benchmarkin g exercise	Request to delay to Feb '17 due to late return of benchmarking Request to delay to Mar'17 as some benchmarking data received	Undertake benchmarking exercise with other CHD Networks, reviewing a defined list of criteria including aspects such as: job planning, IT and imaging links, information governance. To include site visits as appropriate	CHD Network Manager	Jan '17	Red - behind plan, impact on recomme ndation delivery date and/or benefits delivery	Feb mtg - Benchmarking data collection analysis ongoing Site visits dates to be agreed for Mar mtg (JD) Mar'17 RTC supported by delivery group with the caveat



			Progress ov	verview			De	etailed actions			
No.	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completio n date	Status	Delivery Risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
	the necessary changes which such an exercise demonstrates as being necessary.				Dependent on the action required to address the gaps it may not be possible to have implemented all the changes in the timescale.	late; analysis ongoing with visits to be planned by Mar'17	Identification of actions required to address the gaps	CHD Network Manager	Jan '17	Red - behind plan, impact on recomme ndation delivery date and/or benefits delivery Red -	that the action plan is held by the cardiac business meeting for completion Gaps to be identified from completion of analysis; action held by Cardiac business group (JD) As above, change
							practice that are deemed necessary	Network Manager and Divisional Director	The second secon	behind plan, impact on recomme ndation delivery date and/or benefits delivery	As above, change implementation plan to be devised following gap analysis (JD)
11	That the paediatric cardiac service benchmarks its current arrangements against other comparable centres, to ensure that its ability, as a tertiary 'Level 1' centre under the NCHD Standards, to	CHD Network Clinical Director	Jan'17	Red - behind plan, impact on recommen dation delivery date and/or benefits delivery		ogress against deliv	Actions detailed under recommendation no. 9 will a very and evidence will be the same as per recomm				



	Progress overview						D	etailed actions			
No.	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completio n date	Status	Delivery Risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
16	communicate with a 'Level 2' centre, are adequate and sufficiently resourced. Benchmarking would require a study both of the technical resources underpinning good communication, and the physical capacity of clinicians to attend planning meetings such as the JCC (Links to recommendation no. 5) As an interim measure pending any national guidance, that the paediatric cardiac service in the Trust reviews its practice to ensure that there is consistency of approach in the information provided to parents about the involvement of other operators or team members.	Clinical Lead for Cardiac Services and Consultant Paediatric Cardiac Surgeon	Dec '16	Red – second revision of timescales		Request delay to Feb'17 to allow update of catheter leaflets in line with surgery ones Request delay to Mar'17 to allow completion of intervention leaflet and consideration for any others requiring this information to be included.	Enhance existing guidance to describe team working and in particular the involvement of other operators and team members in patient care. Review by the Trust wide consent group and Cardiac Clinical Governance for approval and then implement.	Consultant Paediatric Surgeon and Specialist Clinical Psychologist	Dec '16	Green- complete	Revised 'Preparing for Surgery' leaflet and email to surgeons about new guidance VG/LS to add updated leaflets to website Consider revision of ward 32's leaflet to replicate changes made (ST) Complete changes to interventional leaflet (AP) and produce in draft as a trial for use with patients (ST). Mar'17 Booklets produced and formatted; shared widely for family





	Progress overview						De	etailed actions			
No.	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completio n date	Status	Delivery Risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
											input; signed off by business meeting with all comments incorporated prior to printing, trial and evaluation – RTC supported by delivery group
18	That steps be taken by the Trust to review the adequacy of the procedures for assessing risk in in relation to reviewing cancellations and the	Deputy Divisional Director	Nov '16	Red – second revision of timescales		Request delay to Feb'17 to allow implementation of new cancellation policy	Assessment of current process of risk assessing patients who have been cancelled and the timing of their rescheduled procedure	Cardiac Review Programme Manager	Aug '16	Green- complete	Current process review report
	timing of re- scheduled procedures within paediatric cardiac services.					Request delay to Mar'17 to allow development of next steps SOP to support process Request to delay to May '17 to enable the demonstration of the implementation of the process to risk assess patients adequately	Develop new and improved process for risk assessing cancelled patients ensuring outcomes of this are documented	Consultant Paediatric Surgeon and Cardiac Review Programme Manager	Nov '16	Green- complete	JCC performance review meeting agenda and cancelled operations report Sops for cancellation and next steps being reviewed/devised for presentation at Mar'17 mtg (ST) March'17 delivery group felt unable to sign off recommendation; all documentation has been produced to support the process however we have been unable to evidence that the process is being followed robustly



			Progress ov	verview			De	tailed actions			
No.	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completio n date	Status	Delivery Risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
20	That the Trust should set out a timetable for the establishment of appropriate services for end-of-life care and bereavement support.	Deputy Divisional Director	Nov '16	Green- complete	None		End-of-life care and bereavement support pathway developed (FI) Implementation and roll out of new pathway	Deputy Divisional Director Deputy Divisional Director	Sept '16 Nov '16	Green- complete Green- complete	End-of-life and bereavement support pathway Communication and presentations to roll out
21	Commissioners should give priority to the need to provide adequate funds for the provision of a comprehensive service of psychological support	Commission ers		Green- complete (provider actions)			Previous submission to commissioners for psychological support updated Expression of Interest for increased resource to be submitted as part of business planning	Head of Psychology Services Head of Psychology Services / Deputy Divisional Director	Sept '16 Mar'17	Green- complete Green- complete	Submission to Commissions Expression of interest and W&C Business plan Mar 17 update Recruitment completed RTC supported by
23	That the BRHC confirm, by audit or other suitable means of review, that effective action has been taken to ensure that staff possess a shared understanding of the nature of patient safety incidents and how they should be ranked.	Deputy Divisional Director	Dec '16	Green- complete	None		Review results of Trust wide Manchester Patient Safety (MAPSAF) to understand current baseline for both team level and divisional staff views on patient safety incident reporting and management Annual programme- Targeted approach to all staff groups to be developed with implementation of bespoke training and regular updates to clinical staff	Deputy Divisional Director Deputy Divisional Director	Sept '16 Dec '16	Green- complete Green- complete	delivery group
CQ C.2	Provision of a formal report of transoesophageal or epicardial echocardiography performed during surgery	Clinical Lead for Cardiac Services	Nov '16	Amber- behind target		Mar '17 Delayed to allow audit to demonstrate improvement	ECHO form for reporting in theatres implemented Audit to assess implementation (Nov'16) and request to Steering Group to close	Consultant Paediatric Cardiologist Patient Safety Manager	Aug '16 Nov '16 Revised to Mar 17	Green- complete Amber- behind target	Repeat audit results expected at Mar'17 delivery group with a view to proposing closure of



			Progress or	verview			De	etailed actions			
No.	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completio n date	Status	Delivery Risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
											recommendation (JM/BS) Mar'17 audit shows improvement however not 100% compliance at present therefore further communication to clinicians and reaudit prior to closure
CQ C. 3	Recording pain and comfort scores in line with planned care and when pain relief is changed to evaluate practice	Ward 32 Manager	Aug '16	Green- complete 22/11/16- approved for closure by W&C			Documentation developed to record pain scores more easily Complete an audit on existing practise and report findings	Ward 32 Manager Ward 32 Manager	Jan'16 Aug '16	Green- complete Green- complete	Nursing documentation Audit of nursing documentation
CQ C. 4	Ensuring all discussions with parents are recorded to avoid inconsistency in communication. This includes communications with the Cardiac Liaison Nurses, who should record contacts with families in the patient records (links with review recommendation 12)	Head of Nursing	Dec '16	delivery group Amber- behind target		Request delay to Feb'17 to ensure process is robust Request delay to Apr'17 in view of potential training needs for staff	Work with Cardiac Nurse Specialists to improve recording communication in the patients' medical records and review option of Medway proforma's to support recording in notes	Head of Nursing	Dec '16 Feb 17 revised timescal e for wider issue	Green- complete	Examples of stickers in notes and Heartsuite entries Audit of compliance to be undertaken by MG/VG pre Mar mtg Process to provide consistent recording in accessible patient records to be established (ST) Mar'17 Medway record in place and in use; RTC supported by delivery group subject to audit of quality of records



			Progress ov	verview			De	tailed actions			
No.	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completio n date	Status	Delivery Risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
											to return to delivery group April 17 (MG/VG)
CQ C. 5	Providing written material to families relating to diagnosis and recording this in the records. (links to review recommendation 3)	Clinical Lead for Cardiac Services	Apr '17	Blue- on target	sheets produc	ed and formatted; s	Actions detailed under recommendation no. 3 will a hared widely for family input; signed off by governa ed by delivery group.				
CQ C.6	Ensuring that advice from all professionals involved with individual children is	Head of Allied Health Professional s and Clinical	Jan '17	Green- complete		Agreed mechanism for including AHP advice into discharge	Assessment of current Allied Health Professionals input into discharge planning for Cardiac Services Audit completed and results to be formulated 27 <sup>th</sup> October 2016.	Head of Allied Health Professional s	Oct '16	Green- complete	Assessment documentation
	included in discharge planning to ensure that all needs are addressed.	Lead for Cardiac Services				planning for children within Cardiac Services	Agree with Cardiac Services Team an effective mechanism for including Allied Health Professionals into discharge planning for Cardiac Services. Meeting setup for 4 <sup>th</sup> November.	Head of Allied Health Professional s and Clinical Lead for Cardiac Services	Nov'16	Green- complete	Agreed mechanism for including AHP advice into discharge planning for children within Cardiac Services
							Implement agreed mechanism for including Allied Health Professionals into discharging planning for Cardiac Services	Head of Allied Health Professional s and Clinical Lead for Cardiac Services	Jan 17	Blue – on target	Implementation plan delivery report



## Appendix 2 - Trust wide Consent Delivery Group Action Plan – Senior Responsible Officer: Jane Luker, Deputy Medical Director

## TW Consent delivery timeframe – March 2017

MONTH	Oct '16	Nov '16	Dec '16	Jan '17	Feb '17	Mar '17	Apr' 17	May '17	Jun '17
Recommendations			12- That clinicians encourage an open and transparent dialogue with patients and families upon the option of recording conversations when a diagnosis, course of treatment, or prognosis is being discussed. Request to delay completion to Mar 17 due to ongoing discussion about inclusion of details in patient information Mar 17 update – request to close submitted to April steering group	<ul> <li>13- Review of Consent Policy and the training of staff, to ensure that any questions regarding the capacity of parents or carers to give consent to treatment on behalf of their children are identified and appropriate advice sought</li> <li>Mar 17 update - Request to close submitted to April steering group</li> <li>14- Review of Consent Policy to take account of recent developments in the law in this area, emphasising the rights of patients to be treated as partners by doctors, and to be properly informed about material risks</li> <li>Mar 17 update - Request to close submitted to April steering group</li> <li>CQC1- Recording the percentage risk of mortality or other major complications discussed with parent/carers on consent forms</li> <li>Mar 17 update - Request to close submitted to April steering group</li> </ul>				17-That the Trust carry out a review or audit of (I) its policy concerning obtaining consent to anaesthesia, and its implementation; and (ii) the implementation of the changes to its processes and procedures relating to consent	

[	Progress overview	Detailed actions

Page **20** of **33** 



No.	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completion date of recommendation	Status	Delivery Risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
12	That clinicians encourage an open and transparent dialogue with patients and families upon the	Medical Director	Dec '16	Red		Request to delay to Feb '17 to enable new guidance to be incorporated into cardiac surgery	<b>12.1</b> Guidance developed to medical staff to ensure patients and families are given the option to record conversations when a diagnosis, course of treatment, or prognosis is being discussed	Medical Director	Aug '16	Green- completed	Medical Staff Guidance
	option of recording conversations when a diagnosis, course of treatment, or prognosis is being					leaflet Feb 17 – Req to delay to Mar 17 Details not currently in	<b>12.2</b> Review of new existing guidance to reflect the recommendation and include recommendation in updated consent policy , guidance notes and e-learning	Deputy Medical Director	Nov '16	Green- Completed	Consent policy Guidance on consent policy e-learning for consent
	discussed.					cardiac surgery or intervention leaflet	<b>12.3</b> Incorporate new guidance into existing Children's Consent pathway (existing letter that goes to families before their surgical appointment) (FI)	Consultant Paediatric Cardiac Surgeon	Dec '16	Green	Parent/Patient information booklet to be sent with letter to families Feb 17 Not currently added to patient letter or information
13	That the Trust review its Consent Policy and the training of staff, to ensure that any	Deputy Medical Director	Jan '17	Red	E-learning lead is currently on long term sick which	Request to delay to Feb '17. Actions are complete, but need to be	<b>13.1</b> Trust wide Consent delivery group set up	Deputy Medical Director	Sept '17	Green- Completed	Terms of reference for Trust Wide Consent Group Minutes and actions from meetings
	questions regarding the capacity of parents or carers to give consent to treatment on behalf of their children are				has led to a delay in updating e- learning material	reviewed and signed off by Delivery Group. Request to delay to Mar 17 steering as	<b>13.2</b> Review the consent policy and agree a re- write policy or amend existing policy to ensure patients and clinicians are supported to make decisions together	Consent Group	Nov'16	Green Completed	Revised consent policy ratified by CQC December 2016
	identified and appropriate advice sought					consent group have not met; plan to agree evidence virtually in order to progress	<b>13.3</b> Develop training and communication plan	Deputy Medical Director	Dec '16	Green Completed	Training and communications plan Multi professional Consent workshop 6 <sup>th</sup> April 2017
							<b>13.4</b> Advice from legal team and safeguarding on revised consent policy and e-learning	Deputy Medical Director	Nov '16	Green Completed	Legal and safeguarding agreement and comments on consent policy and



			Progress ov	erview			De	tailed actions			
No.	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completion date of recommendation	Status	Delivery Risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
											e-learning
							<b>13.5</b> Update e-learning for any changes to consent policy and process	Deputy Medical Director	Jan '17	Green Completed	Updated E- learning package for consent
14	That the Trust reviews its Consent Policy to take account of recent developments in the law in this area, emphasising the rights of patients to be treated as partners by doctors, and to be properly informed about material risks	Deputy Medical Director	Linked to recom	mendatio	n no. 13, actior	is, timescales and	status as detailed under this recommendation –	Red – delayed,	date comp	letion now a	nticipated to be Mar 17
17	That the Trust carry out a review or audit of (I) its policy concerning obtaining consent to anaesthesia, and its implementation; and (ii) the implementation of the changes to its processes and	Deputy Medical Director	May'17	Blue- on target			<ul> <li>17.1 Anaesthetic group to be set up to review current practise in pre-op assessment in relation to consent for anaesthesia and how they can implement a consent for anaesthesia process trust wide (FI)</li> <li>17.2 Liaise with Royal College of Anaesthesia and other appropriate professional bodies with regarding national policy</li> </ul>	Consultant Paediatric Cardiac Anaesthetist Paediatric Anaesthesia consent group	Dec '16 Jan' 17	Green Completed Green Completed	Minutes and actions from meeting Correspondence with Royal College of Anaesthetists and Associations AAGBNI Guidance on Consent January 2017
	procedures relating to consent						<b>17.3</b> Implementation plan for trust wide consent process	Paediatric Anaesthesia consent group	May '17	Green Completed	Business case for paediatric pre-op assessment – planned for April 2017 therefore rtc to be submitted for May 17 meeting



			Progress ov	verview			De	tailed actions			
No.	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completion date of recommendation	Status	Delivery Risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
CQC. 1	Recording the percentage risk of mortality or other major complications discussed with parents or carers on consent forms	Deputy Medical Director	Jan' 17	Red		Request to delay to Feb '17. Actions have been completed, but there was insufficient time to get new	<b>1.1</b> Review trust wide consent form in use to agree whether they should be amended to improve recording of risk	Consent Group	Dec '17	Green	Updated / amended trust consent forms
						consent forms printed in time for January sign off. Request to delay to Mar'17 mtg to	<b>1.2</b> Paediatric Cardiac Services to agree whether service would benefit from a bespoke cardiac consent form that includes percentage risk	Consultant Paediatric Cardiac Surgeon	Nov '16	Green	Agreement of Paediatric Consent Group to utilise bespoke consent forms where appropriate
						allow for all consent forms to be amended This Recommendation will go to next consent group meeting for approval to sign off	<b>1.3</b> Cardiac Services- agree and implement process for discussing percentage risk with families (FI)	Consultant Paediatric Cardiac Surgeon	Nov '16	Red	Information and consent forms available to parents Which outline the procedure and include percentage risks. Thses will supplement consent forms

# Appendix 3 Trust wide Incidents and Complaints Delivery Group Action Plan – Senior Responsible Officer; Helen Morgan, Deputy Chief Nurse

# TW Incidents and complaints delivery timeframe - March 2017

MONTH	Oct '16	Nov '16	Dec '16	Jan '17	Feb '17	Mar '17	Apr' 17	May '17	Jun '17
			<ul> <li>28-That guidance be drawn up which identifies when, and if so, how, an 'independent element' can be introduced into the handling of those complaints or investigations which require it. Request to delay to Feb ' 17</li> <li>Feb mtg – sufficient evidence to complete recommendation to close for March meeting but now red as did not meet revised date;</li> <li>Evidence complete, RTC to Apr steering</li> </ul>	26- Development of an integrated process for the management of complaints and all related investigations- <i>timescale</i> <i>changed from Jan</i> '17 to Jun '17 Mar mtg progress noted; work still to do re integrating adult information and further FI following inclusion of their comments to date			29 - Options for more effective handling of complaints, including the introduction of an independent element, serious consideration be given to offering as early as possible, alternative forms of dispute resolution, such as medical mediation. Mar mtg – evidence complete; awaiting outcome of QAC to recommend next steps before RTC		27- Design of the processes (26) should take account also of the need for guidance and training for clinical staff as regards liaising with families and enabling effective dialogue Mar mtg – evidence complete; action plans for ongoing monitoring in place therefore RTC to be submitted to the Apr steering group
			<b>30</b> - Review its procedures to ensure that patients or families are offered not only information about any changes in practice, seek feedback on its effectiveness, but also the opportunity to be involved in designing those changes and overseeing their implementation- <i>timescale changed</i> <i>from Dec '16 to Apr'16</i> <i>Mar mtg progress noted; work still to</i> <i>do</i>						

			Progress overvie	w			Detail	ed actions			
No	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completion date of recommendation	Status	Delivery risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
26.	That the Trust should explore urgently the development of an integrated process for the management of complaints and all related investigations	Chief Nurse	Jan '17	Amber- behind target		Jun'17 additional and amended actions to fulfil recommen dation	<b>26.1</b> Develop an appendix to the Serious Incident (SI) policy defining "link" between Child Death Review (CDR), complaints and SI investigations / reporting, includes adults and children.	Women and Children's Head of Governance	July '16	Green- Complete Approved by delivery group 15.11.16	Link between serious incidents and other investigatory procedures (e.g. Complaints and Child Death Review) July 2016
	following either a death of a child or a serious incident, taking account of the work of the NHS England's Medical Directorate on this matter. Clear guidance should be given to patients or parents about the function and purpose of each element of an investigation, how they may contribute if they so choose,						<b>26.2</b> Develop and implement guidance for staff in <b>children's services</b> on standards procedures / practices that need to be followed to provide a high quality and equitable service for all patients / families in the event of bereavement.	Women and Children's Head of Governance	Dec '16	Green – complete. 10.01.17 5/8 members approved, remainder virtually.	Document approved within the Division via Quality Assurance Group. Monitored weekly at the Bereavement Group. Audit Apr 17 Audit of compliance complete; action plan sits with bereavement group
	and how their contributions will be reflected in reports. Such guidance should also draw attention to any sources of support which they may draw upon.						<b>26.3</b> Develop and implement guidance for staff in <b>adult services</b> on standards procedures / practices that need to be followed to provide a high quality and equitable service for all patients / families in the event of bereavement.	Head of Quality (Patient Safety)	Jul '16	Green- Complete	Guidance for Supporting and Working with patients/families after unexpected death of an adult or a serious incident involving an adult, July 2016 (latest version)
							<b>26.4</b> Develop 'guidance' / information for <b>families</b> in <b>children's services</b> how the x3 processes of Child Death Review (CDR) / Serious Investigation (SI) / Root Cause Analysis (RCA) investigation inquests and complaints are initiated / managed and integrate <i>(FI)</i>	Women and Children's Head of Governance	April '17	Green action complete Mar mtg action complete	Unformatted version sent to VRG group for comment on content with an associated leaflet



			Progress overvie	w			Detail	ed actions			
No	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completion date of recommendation	Status	Delivery risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
											to demonstrate format; comments incorporated to add in adult version and resend to VRG
							<b>26.5</b> Develop 'guidance' / information for <b>staff</b> in <b>children's services</b> on how the x3 processes of CDR / SI / RCA investigation inquests and complaints are initiated / managed and integrate.	Women and Children's Head of Governance	Dec '16	Green action complete Due for presentati on at February 17 meeting Now rated red as not approved at meeting Mar mtg – action complete	Draft guidance presented; comments from group members to be incorporated and represented at March 2017 meeting SOP completed; to go to Mar QAC and implement; audit initially at 6/12 but then annually
							<b>26.6</b> Develop the above <b>staff</b> guidance for <b>adult</b> patients and families (minus CDR)	Head of Quality (Patient Safety)	Dec '16	Green – action complete	As above Complete, signed off by CQG
							<b>26.7</b> Develop the above <b>family</b> guidance for <b>adult</b> patients and families (minus CDR) (FI).	Head of Quality (Patient Safety)	Apr '17	Blue- on target	Leaflet produced but ongoing discussion around the process of sharing a draft RCA with family Links to rec 30
							<b>26.8</b> Review options for how patients / families can participate (if they want to) with the SI RCA process implement preferred options (FI).	Head of Quality (Patient Safety)	Jun '17	Blue- on target	As above
							<b>26.9</b> Implement a process for gaining regular feedback from patients / families involved in a SI RCAs process to understand what it felt like for them and how we can improve the process for them (FI)	Head of Quality (Patient Safety)	Jun '17	Blue- on target	Ongoing work on how to achieve this



			Progress overvie	w			Detail	ed actions			
No	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completion date of recommendation	Status	Delivery risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
27	That the design of the processes we refer to should take account also of the need for guidance and training for clinical staff as regards liaising with families and enabling effective dialogue.	Chief Nurse	Jun '17	Blue- on target			<b>27.1</b> Guidance developed for staff for the preparation and conduct of meetings with parents/families to discuss concerns and/or adverse event feedback	Medical Director	Jun '16	Green- complete Action approved 10.01.17 pending any further comments within 1 week.	Guidance for the Preparation and Conduct of Meetings with Parents/Families to discuss concerns and/or adverse event feedback, June 2016
							As per actions 26.4 and 26.5, included in recommend	lation no. 26 to d	levelop gu	idance for sta	iff
							<ul> <li>27.2 Develop a framework for training staff to support them to effectively and sensitively manage processes relating to CDR/SI's and complaints. Develop and pilot session.</li> <li>Existing complaints training materials to be reviewed and updated to include guidance on supporting families in circumstances where a complaint is being investigated alongside a CDR or SI. January 2017.</li> <li>Other bespoke training opportunities to be considered in light of development of staff guidance by Children's Services (see 26.5), due April 2017.</li> </ul>	Head of Quality (Patient Experience and Clinical Effectivenes s) And Head of Quality (Patient Safety)	Jun '17	Blue- on target	Training updated for pt safety, RCA, induction and complaints – add link to new documents developed as part of this action plan and then complete. BRHC training programme complete Plans for next steps to combine training for pt safety for BRHC and adults. Evidence to be provided for where & to whom training is being delivered then RTC
28	That guidance be drawn up which identifies when, and if so, how, an 'independent	Chief Nurse	Dec '16	Red – behind target.		Request to delay to Feb ' 17	<ul> <li>28.1 To review UHBristol's previous use of independent review / benchmarking from other trusts to inform above.</li> <li>Complaints</li> <li>RCA's</li> </ul>	Patient Support and Complaints Manager and Patient	Nov '16	Green- complete Action approved 10.01.17	Reports of the Reviews undertaken and available in evidence folder

University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust

			Progress overvie	w			Detail	ed actions			
No	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completion date of recommendation	Status	Delivery risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
	element' can be introduced into the handling of those complaints or investigations which require it.						<ul> <li>28.2 Develop guidance for when to access 'independent advise / review' for</li> <li>Complaints</li> <li>SI RCAs</li> </ul>	Safety Manager Head of Quality (Patient Experience and Clinical Effectivenes s) And Head of Quality (Patient Safety)	Nov '16 Oct '16 Dec '16	Green – Complete Action approved 14.2.17	Complaints policy Serious Incident Policy (appendix 9, pg. 33) Email from CS to all divisions on 6 <sup>th</sup> February 2017
							<b>28.3</b> The Trust has entered into exploratory discussions with the Patients Association about developing a model for exceptional independent investigation/review. This work will commence with a focus group of previous dissatisfied complainants in February 2017.	Head of Quality (Patient Experience and Clinical Effectivenes s)	Mar '17	Green – complete	Focus meeting planned but not until May 17 due to pt assoc availability; letter of invitation to be added to evidence; ongoing assurance to be held by PEG RTC to be completed
							<b>28.4</b> Consider how an independent review can be introduced for 2 <sup>nd</sup> time dissatisfied complainants / involve users in developing a solution.	Head of Quality (Patient Experience and Clinical Effectivenes s)	Oct '16	Green- complete	This action has been completed
29	That as part of the process of exploring	Chief Nurse	Apr '17	Blue- on			29.0 Visit the Evelina to understand their model for mediation and possible replication at UHBristol. A	SRO for I&C	Feb 17	Green - Complete	Medical Mediation



			Progress overvie	w			Detail	ed actions			
No	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completion date of recommendation	Status	Delivery risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
	the options for more effective handling of complaints, including the introduction of an independent element, serious consideration be given to offering as early as possible, alternative forms of dispute resolution, such as medical mediation.			target			<ul> <li>report will be presented following the visit to consider next steps and possible resource implications.</li> <li>Action reviewed and agreed to receive a presentation from the Medical Mediation Foundation who provide the Evelina service.</li> </ul>				Foundation meeting completed on 9/3/17. Feedback written up and sent to BRHC Quality Assurance Committee 17/3/17 for recommendation re next steps; RTC completed
30	That the Trust should review its procedures to ensure that patients or families are offered not only information about any changes in practice introduced as a result of a complaint or incident involving them or their families and seek feedback on its effectiveness, but also the opportunity to be involved in designing those changes and overseeing their	Chief Nurse	Dec '16	Amber- behind target		Apr '17 Revised to allow for family involveme nt	<b>30.1</b> Develop a clear process with timescales trust- wide for feedback to families / patients outcomes involved in SI panels / review and actions ongoing from this and staff (FI).	Head of Quality (Patient Safety)	Apr '17	Blue- on target	Links to other engagement work; likely to be completed in conjunction Mar mtg discussed all actions link to Rec 26 (points 4,7,8 & 9) Process exists within Being open policy/Duty of Candour policy. Adult sheet to be added to options available for April 17 Del group RTC
	implementation.						<b>30.2</b> Ensure complainants are routinely asked whether and how they would like to be involved in designing changes in practice in response to the concerns they have raised (FI)	Head of Quality (Patient Experience and Clinical Effectivenes s)	Oct '16	Green- complete	Evidence pro forma of questions used. Agreed additional action 30.3 before closing. Mar mtg - Audit data to date shows process in



			Progress overvie	w			Detail	ed actions			
No	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completion date of recommendation	Status	Delivery risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
							<b>30.3</b> Use of process for asking patients how they would like to be involved in designing changes in practice in response to the concerns they have raised to be audited at the end of February 2017, including review of survey replies.	Head of Quality (Patient Experience and Clinical Effectivenes s)	Feb '17	Green- complete	place and in use – more detailed audit to sit with the complaints work plan & feed into PEG Audit results due to be presented at March 2017 delivery group Mar mtg - Audit data to date shows process in place and in use – more detailed audit to sit with the complaints work plan
							<b>30.4</b> Regular complainant focus groups to be held from April 2017 onwards as part of routine follow-up of people's experience of the complaints system. Ambition is for these focus groups to eventually be facilitated by previous complainants.	Head of Quality (Patient Experience and Clinical Effectivenes s)	April '17	Green- complete	Mar mg – action outwith original scope of Rec and will enhance effectiveness but not fundamental to completion. Process in place to ensure that complainants are asked to attend focus group. First focus group scheduled for May 17 and ongoing will sit within the complaints work plan for ongoing work and scrutiny through PEG

## Appendix 4 Other Actions Plan – governed by the Independent Review of Childrens Cardiac Services Steering Group

## Other Recommendation's delivery timeframe March 2017

MONTH	Sept'16	Oct '16	Nov '16	Dec '16	Jan '17	Feb '17	Mar '17	Apr' 17
MONTH Recommendations	Sept'16 22 - That the Trust review the implementation of the recommendation of the Kennedy Report that a member of the Trust's Executive, sitting on the Board, has responsibility to ensure that the interests of children are preserved and protected, and should routinely report on this matter to the Board. – complete Sept 16 signed off by steering group Mar 17	Oct '16 31 That the Trust should review the history of recent events and the contents of this report, with a view to acknowledging publically the role which parents have played in bringing about significant changes in practice and in improving the provision of care. Completed Oct 16; signed off by steering group Mar 17	Nov '16	Dec '16 32 That the Trust re designate its activities regarding the safety of patients so as to replace the notion of "patient safety" with the reference to the safety of patients, thereby placing patients at the centre of its concern for safe care. Completed Feb 17, signed off by Steering group Mar 17	Jan '17 24 -That urgent attention be given to developing more effective mechanisms for maintaining dialogue in the future in situations such as these, at the level of both the provider and commissioning organisations. Mar 17 Added to the IR risk register in view of delayed completion of action by CCG; CM in communication with CCG leads	Feb '17	Mar '17	Apr' 17

	Progress overview						Detailed actions				
No	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completion date of recommendation	Status	Delivery Risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
22	That the Trust review the implementation of the recommendation of the Kennedy Report that a member of the Trust's Executive, sitting on the Board, has responsibility to ensure that the interests of children are preserved and protected, and should	Trust Secretary	Sept '16	Green- complete			Review of current arrangements and processes (Sept '16)	Trust Secretary	Sept '16	Green- complete	Executive Lead Role description April 2015 Board annual report BRCH 2015/2016 Steering group Mar 7 <sup>th</sup> agreed closure of action

Page **31** of **33** 



		Pr	ogress overview			Detailed actions					
No.	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completion date of recommendation	Status	Delivery Risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
	routinely report on this matter to the Board.										
24	That urgent attention be given to developing more effective mechanisms for maintaining dialogue in the future in situations such as these, at the level of both the provider and commissioning organisations.	Commissioners and Trust	Jan '16	Red		Proposal for addressing developed./in the process of being approved via NHSE governance framework.	Discussion with commissioners about the issues and agreement to mitigate a similar occurrence	Commissioners and Trust	Jan '16	Red	Added to the IR risk register in view of delayed completion of action by CCG; CM in communication with CCG leads RTC submitted with supporting documentation, unable to be presented at April steering therefore returning to May steering group for discussion
31	That the Trust should review the history of recent events and the contents of this report, with a view to acknowledging publically the role which parents have played in bringing about significant	Chief Nurse (	Oct '16	Green- complete			Trust board paper presented in July acknowledging the role which parents have played in bring about significant changes in practice and in improving the provision of care	Chief Executive	July '16	Green- complete	Trust Board Paper and Trust Board Agenda, July '16 Steering group Mar 7th agreed closure of action
	changes in practice and in improving the provision of care.						Presentation to Health and Overview Scrutiny Committee	Chief Executive, Medical Director, Chief Nurse and Women's and Children's Divisional Director	Aug '16	Green- complete	Meeting minutes - August 2016 & February 2017 Two visits – February 2016 Steering group Mar 7th agreed closure of action



		Pr	rogress overview		Detailed actions						
No.	Recommendation	Lead Officer	Completion date of recommendation	Status	Delivery Risks	Revised timescale & reason	Actions to deliver recommendations	Ву	When	Status	Evidence
							Presentation to the Bristol Safeguarding Children's Board	Chief Nurse	Oct '16	Green- complete	Minutes of BSCB Sept 2016 Steering group Mar 7th agreed closure of action
32	That the Trust redesignate its activities regarding the safety of patients so as to replace the notion of "patient safety" with the reference to the safety of patients, thereby placing patients at the centre of its concern for safe care.	Medical Director	Dec '16	Amber		To be signed off as complete at March 7 <sup>th</sup> meeting	Adoption of the term "Safety of Patients" in place of "Patient Safety" going forward and communication of preferred term Trust wide . Terms of Reference of Patient Safety Group Revised and approved by CCG Feb 2, 2017 Role descriptions for Patient safety staff revised and to be approved by end Feb 2017	Medical Director	Feb '17	Green- complete	Steering group Mar 7th agreed closure of action

	Кеу							
R	Milestone behind plan, requirement to revise delivery date on more than one occasion; impact on recommendation delivery date and/or benefits delivery							
Α	Milestone behind plan, delivery date revised on one occasion							
В	Blue - Activities on plan to achieve milestone							
твс	To be confirmed							
G	Complete / Closed							
FI	Indicates family involvement in the action(s)							